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About Switch Africa Green

African countries are actively engaged in the transition to an Inclusive Green Economy, and in promoting a shift to sustainable consumption and production (SCP) patterns, that together contribute to poverty eradication and sustainable development in the region.

The SWITCH Africa Green project is developed and funded by the European Union to support African countries in their transition to an inclusive green economy and in promoting a shift to Sustainable Consumption and Production (SCP) patterns and practices while generating growth, creating decent jobs and reducing poverty. The objective will be achieved through support to private sector led inclusive green growth. UNEP in collaboration with UNDP and UNOPS is implementing the SWITCH Africa Green Project covering 6 pilot countries Burkina Faso, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritius, South Africa, and Uganda. Other partners include the African Union Commission, the African Roundtable on SCP (ARSCP) and the African Development Bank (AfDB).

The Ministry of Environment, Sustainable Development, Disaster and Beach Management is responsible for the implementation and coordination of the project in Mauritius. The identified priority sectors are for the Switch Africa Green Programme in Mauritius are Agriculture, Manufacturing, Tourism with Energy, Water, Eco Innovation, Eco Labelling and Sustainable Trade as cross-cutting issues.

About the Project:
Promoting Sustainable Local Agriculture through Green Retail & Green Hospitality

This project is one of the country-specific projects of the SWITCH AFRICA GREEN (SAG) Programme in Mauritius aiming at supporting transformation towards an inclusive green economy by enabling MSMEs, including small planters, to start and develop resource efficient and green business based on sustainable production practices. Its foundation is fundamentally based on the growing concern for safe and healthy foods and the need to reduce environmental impacts related to fresh fruits and vegetables (FFV) production and distribution in Mauritius. Moreover, the Government of Mauritius is implementing a Green Agricultural Certification Scheme through the farm certification MauriGAP (MS184:2015), acronym for Mauritius Good Agricultural Practices, to promote sustainable agricultural practices and safe food. However, to support this government initiative and ensure small growers buy in, there is a need for greater pull from the market, especially retailers, wholesalers and hotels.

The aim of this project is to promote sustainable local food in Mauritius by leveraging channel power of retail & hotel sector to drive sustainable food consumption and production, add value to local food and improve livelihood of small holder farmers The project shall complement the Green Agricultural Certification Scheme.

The partners in this project are the University of Mauritius (UoM), the Food and Agricultural Research Extension Institute (FAREI), and the Collaborating Centre on Sustainable Consumption and Production (CSCP) in Germany.
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Context handbook

This handbook has been developed under the SWITCH Africa project "Promoting Sustainable Local Agriculture through Green Retail and Green Hospitality" (SUS-AGRI). The overall objective of the SUS-AGRI project is to promote sustainable local food in Mauritius by leveraging the channel power of retail & hotel sectors to drive sustainable food consumption and production, add value to local food and improve livelihood of small planters.

Mauritius is challenged both in terms of food autonomy and the demographics of its agricultural sector. The government plan seeks to reduce the dependency on imports, thereby diminishing loss of currency and CO₂ footprint. Furthermore, it seeks to ensure a continuity and economic viability of its agricultural sector, whose backbone is constituted by the small planters. Yet a majority of these are over 50 years of age. It is therefore socially, economically and environmentally vital, that demand and supply of sustainable FFV be achieved in a way that strengthens this agricultural population and provides inspiring perspectives for the next generation. Sustainability including its economic return is one such theme to turn to for inspiration. Whereas corporate farms may find it easier to adapt to sustainability criteria, the small planters remain the backbone of the sector and must be part of this evolution.

Two volumes of this handbook have been developed, one for retailer companies and a second one for hotels in Mauritius. This handbook aims to explain and support application of different tools that allow for greening current hotelier practices. It targets hotels and hospitality resorts in Mauritius which are willing to assess sustainability risks and opportunities, instil green practices in their supply chains and engage with their customers for more sustainable tourism.

Why this handbook?

Hotels are the cornerstone of tourism in Mauritius, accounting for some 1 million tourist arrivals per year. Whereas tourism produces receipts of over USD$ 1.2 billion, such a tourist influx comes with a substantial environmental footprint, yet it can have strong leverage over patterns of Sustainable Consumption and Production (SCP) for the island. While initiating sustainability practices may be challenging, ultimately it is what makes Mauritius attractive, i.e. a tourism destination with remarkable natural features, and embedded within a stable political and economic climate. All tools provided through this handbook will support hoteliers in Mauritius on their journey towards more sustainable business practices.

First of all, the Hot Spot Analysis is an easy-to-use tool that allows hoteliers to identify risks and opportunities linked to products they purchase. Knowing of the most relevant risks and opportunities paves the way for further sustainability actions. Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) comprises diverse action areas and tools which can be used to work with supply chain actors towards improved and greener supply chains. On the consumer end, tools for marketing and consumer engagement aid to communicate benefits of more sustainable consumption and production to hotel guests and thereby augment their holiday experience. It also sows the seeds of recurrent business (positive publicity).
Scope of the handbook

The handbook provides a range of tools that guide hotels to become more sustainable and tap business opportunities related to corresponding actions. However, it needs to be acknowledged that this handbook follows the focus of the SUS-AGRI project by paying special attention to fresh fruit and vegetable products, although most of the tools described can also be used to analyse, improve and communicate other product groups and related practices. In addition, the handbook must be seen as a first entry point and detailed implementation of tools highlighted in this handbook might need further expert assistance.

Structure of the handbook

Following the introduction chapter, the handbook highlights sustainability tools under three different modules. The first module will provide the hot spot analysis, a tool that allows hoteliers to understand supply chains of FFV products, and assess and evaluate related risks and opportunities. The second module highlights several tools for Sustainable Supply Chain Management, all aiming to foster sustainability in your supply chains by improved ecological, social and economic performance of supply chain partners and practices. This part shows ways how to offer more sustainable products step-by-step, using the potential for sustainable and organic agriculture in Mauritius. The third module deals with marketing and consumer engagement. This part will highlight tools and strategies that the hospitality sector can implement for helping consumers to engage with sustainable local produce and integrate it into their hospitality experience. Whereas the hot spot analysis may give hoteliers an insight into methodological aspects, it is expected that they will concentrate to implement sustainable procurement and to market to their customers their sustainability measures.

Expected learning outcomes

By following this handbook and the associated trainings hoteliers ought to be enabled to practice a hot spot analysis, in particular with regard to local supply of fresh fruit and vegetables (FFV).

- Learn how to use hot spot analysis and lay the foundation for sustainable supply chain management
- Knowledge on which life cycle steps and sustainability aspects to look at
- Identify most relevant sustainability risks
- Know where to take sustainability measures to mitigate risks and size opportunities

However, Hot Spot analysis is not the main business of hotels and they may be more inclined to concentrate their efforts on Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Green Marketing.
We only have one planet

Today the quantity of resources that we consume globally and the waste that we generate require the equivalent of 1.5 planets. This means that it takes the Earth one year and six months to regenerate what we use in a year (Global Footprint Network 2014). Consumption patterns are not equal across the globe. The highly industrialised and developed countries (e.g. Europe, North America, and Australia) consume significantly more than transition and developing countries in South America, Africa and large parts of Asia. Our ecological footprint has been consistently on a rise. Consumption patterns are increasing rapidly in transition economies due to the growing middle class consumers and associated demands for supporting services and infrastructure (e.g. China, India).

Figure 1: Today’s ressource consumption; created with mapchart.net ©

Private companies can play an important role in changing today’s patterns of resource intensive consumption and production and embark on a pathway towards a sustainable development. Sustainable development is a “…development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs” (Brundtland Report 1987). For achieving sustainable development, it needs action in economical, ecological and social dimension, which are interconnected and characterized by mutual interdependence. To the three dimensions of sustainable development is also often referred to as Planet, People, Profit.

The ecological dimension includes the adaptation of business practices in support of the protection of ecological sphere and preservation of biological carrying capacity of the planet and relates among others to environmental sound uses of resources and materials (input-dimension), and the reduction of emissions and solid waste (output-dimension). In the social dimension actions aim to achieve social stability and equitable distribution of welfare gains and chances of life. It deals with the distribution of basic commodities and rights such as...
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health, social security and working conditions. The economical dimension relates to preservation of material and immaterial sources of life, among others fair competition and share of benefits. Actions in all three dimensions aim to minimise negative impacts while maximising positive impacts and well-being.

### Sustainability and hotels

Like all companies, hotels rely on supply chains to deliver their promised products and services to their hotel guests. For this reason, managing the supply chain and dealing with supply chain risks and opportunities is an important task to restore reputation and market performance. Sustainability action has gained importance over the recent years in the hotel sector. On the one hand, hotels understand that their business has significant impacts on the environment and local communities. On the other hand, hotels have discovered that there are many business opportunities for hotels in sustainability. Hotels have started to review their business practices from a sustainability angle and manage ecological, social and economical impacts. This includes responsible use of resources, limitation of emissions, offering decent working conditions with no discrimination and fair payments, adequate benefits, fair pricing, green marketing and caring for local communities. In this context it is important to understand that single hotels but especially hotel chains are positioned in a key position for sustainability.

---

### Action areas of sustainable development

Source: CSCP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social / People</th>
<th>Economy / Profit</th>
<th>Environment / Planet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community / social inclusion</td>
<td>Fundamental rights and human treatment</td>
<td>Price / affordability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>Ethical behaviour</td>
<td>Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work conditions and occupational health</td>
<td></td>
<td>Information &amp; transparency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and financial services</td>
<td>Training and prof. development</td>
<td>Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material use</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wages and benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water use</td>
<td>Air emissions</td>
<td>Innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy use</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>Solid waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/soil emissions</td>
<td>Biodiversity-loss and natural habitat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse gas emissions</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovations</td>
<td>Water use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Figure 2: Action areas of sustainable development Source: CSCP*
Hotels buy products and services from suppliers and service providers and have the opportunity to communicate to their guests in a direct way. Thus, their position at the interface between production and consumption allows them to use their buying power and work upstream with their suppliers in order to improve their production practices. For instance, among others upstream practices they can assess sustainability opportunities linked to products and services they purchase, implement green procurement practices, ask their suppliers to comply with sustainable production standards, engage and express their interest in supply chain products, or demand for traceability.

Downstream hotels can enable more sustainable consumption patterns by informing and educating their guests through tailored communication and marketing. For instance, retailer can provide more products and services to their guests and communicate related benefits in the hotel and when the service is delivered or launch green advertising and marketing campaigns that reflect the desire of their guest for responsible consumption.
Why bother with sustainability?

Sustainability actions do not only ease environmental and social challenges, but also make good business sense.

Travelers care about companies that do good and advocate issues of global importance. A study by Accor asked more than 7000 hotel guests in 7 countries. The study clearly shows that hotel guests care for sustainability issues at home and on holidays.

An interesting finding is as well that 22% of the guests strongly and another 45% agree to pay more if a hotel has taken steps to protect the environment. This shows that costs for investments into sustainability can be balanced.
Striking are finding from the Accor study when it comes to food products offered in hotels. It clearly shows that eating local food gives guests the best local experience when they travel. 62% of surveyed guest strongly agree and 35% agree on this statement, which is first ranked before statements like “discovering tourist sites” or “learning about the history and culture”. Only 6% of surveyed guest state that they are not interested in healthy food, guest especially prefer to have locally sourced, organic and seasonal produce. One third of guests are interested in fair trade produce.

Embracing sustainability will pay back for hoteliers as customer preference and loyalty increases. In addition, taking sustainability measures will also pay back more directly as resource efficiency potentials are discovered and tapped, management practices improved and staff motivated through common principals and values.

For instance, Marriott established a program to reduce water and energy use in 2007 and managed to reduced water consumption by 12.8%, energy consumption by 11% and GHG Emission by 12.4% by the end of 2013. In 2013 Marriott could save $200.000 by the use of a standardized building automation platform. Sustainable sourcing initiatives improve environmental and social performance of purchased products and should be communicated to hotel guests. This will allow to mitigate negative impacts on the natural environment and society, and foster product innovations and sustainable offerings. For instance, it is estimated that food purchases accounts for most of the water consumed and contaminated (PWC 2012). However, hoteliers have to know where to start their sustainability journey and how to invest their efforts in the most effective way. It is also important to understand that most sustainability actions will only pay off if a strong visibility of the achievements is attached to these actions.
The independently owned Damai Lovina Villas in Bali has effectively reduced cost by decreasing waste and energy usage. The resort partners with a local research center that provides the resort with environmentally safe agricultural and household products. The resort’s restaurant sources 80% of its ingredients from its own organic garden and local farms. By practicing sustainable farming, such as using permaculture to reduce water consumption and increasing crop health, and composting in lieu of using chemical fertilizers, the farm was able to reduce crop production costs by 90% and increase crop production by 20% (Ernst and Young, 2008).

These monetary and environmental benefits lead to improved operations and profitability, which in turn, are the basis for greater investment and quality. Indeed, the hotels may re-invest the savings into further environmental measures (green energy, waste water treatment recuperation) but also into more perceptible aspects such as own orchards or horticulture, electric vehicles, or increased sourcing of ecological products (whether consumable or durable). Ultimately, such a journey pays off in terms of achieving sustainability certifications, such as “Green Key” or “Green Globe” and may be used in the marketing materials of the hotel. It is a virtuous circle, in which both process and performance are improved, the process laying the foundation for perpetual improvement. To summarise, seeking to achieve a sustainability certification will

• Identify ways to improve internal management processes
• Shift toward the use of cost saving sustainable technologies or practices
• Gain a competitive advantage through improving efficiencies and reducing operating costs
• Demonstrate compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements
• Satisfy corporate customers who are increasingly requesting information about their suppliers social and environmental initiatives
• Increase sales by appealing to environmentally conscious customers

An extensive list of certification schemes for the hospitality sector is to be found in the appendix.
Hot Spot Analysis

Introduction

Hot Spot Analysis (HSA) is a rather simple-to-use tool to identify most relevant risks in the value chain of products you purchase for business. In the context of FFV, it helps you to select products that come with reduced product risks upstream and allow you to communicate product benefits to your clients downstream. The picture you get from the Hot Spot Analysis helps you to better understand the products you buy and what you can substantially communicate as greater value to your customers.

Unlike commonly used environmental or social Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs) its use is much easier and less resource intensive. Although it also covers the complete life cycle of a product, it rather focuses on the most relevant aspects without getting lost in small details, and for this reason reaches high levels of efficiency.

Hot Spot Analysis can provide the following benefits and features:

1. Comprehensive and credible
   • Covers the entire product life cycle (sourcing, production, distribution/retail, consumption/end-of-life)
   • Allows flexible integration of environmental, social and economic aspects
   • Enables the identification of sustainability priorities and leverage points

2. Practical and efficient
   • Semi-quantitative approach (thus fairly resource- and cost efficient)
   • Applicable product category and product level
   • Mass market feasibility
   • Can be used for all kind of products and services

3. Integrative and compatible
   • Combinable with existing criteria sets, standards and guidelines
   • Applicable for all sizes of enterprises
   • Basis for action plans and continuous improvement

4. Customized to your specific needs
   • Framework is adaptable to respective purpose and needs of your company
     (i.e. assess risks/opportunities in the lifecycle of selected product or defined and prioritise actions for addressing risks/opportunities)
Methodology description and principles

The Hot Spot Analysis is a tool to identify sustainability priorities along the entire life cycle of products. As such it is to be seen as part of a sustainability analysis toolbox covering the 3Ps (People, Planet, Profit). It seeks to identify most relevant impacts deriving from production and consumption of products in each phase of product life cycle and thereby helps to discover and priorities supply chain risks and opportunities for improvements. “Hot spots” are most relevant environmental and social-economical improvement areas that relate to high sustainability risks and opportunities throughout the life cycle of a product.

Once hot spots in product value chains have been identified buying companies can use this information to effectively minimise negative impacts and maximize positive impacts. Such measures can be e.g. supply chain projects with suppliers or improved selection of products and suppliers.

Practical and efficient

“Hot Spot Analysis allows for a holistic yet practical view on the supply chain, focusing on sustainability priorities.”
Hot Spot Analysis can be used to systematically discover most relevant sustainability issues of all kind of product categories. It has been successfully used for food and non-food products alike.

The analysis covers the whole life cycle of products (raw material/agriculture, production/processing, distribution and retail, consumption and end-of-life), searching in each phase of the life cycle for relevant sustainability impacts. Impacts can occur in the environmental, social and economic dimension of sustainability.

Literature research and, if necessary, expert interviews are conducted in order to generate information on potential sustainability impacts. In parallel specific software, e.g. CO$_2$ footprint calculators, may also be used. Researchers will evaluate generated information in order to classify impacts according to their relevance and identify the most relevant ones as “hot spots”. Findings will be pictures in a clear and easy-to-understand table showing all sustainability impacts of a product and their relevance, indicating sustainability priorities of each analysed product (see figure 7).
Application

The application of Hot Spot Analysis involves 3 steps as described below:

1) Preparing the frame
   • Define the product category / specific product
   • Specify the respective supply chain / product life cycle
   • Set the hotspots categories

2) Identifying sustainability Hot Spots
   • Analyse sustainability issues along the supply chain
   • Prioritise most relevant sustainability risks and opportunities (hot spots)

3) Identifying and prioritising actions to resolve hotspots
   • Identify potential measures and actions
   • Seize business opportunities
   • Integrate in overarching sustainability strategy

Step 1 – Preparing the frame

The Hot Spot Analysis can be used for all kind of products. However, it is important to carefully define the product in scope as well as all relevant life cycle phases.

In order to define the product in a useful way it makes sense to decompose the product in its ingredients and raw materials and ask for the functional value it is offering to consumers. The product might be composed of only one or multiple raw materials that need to be considered under the analysis. Fresh fruits and vegetables are single ingredient products and therefore relatively easy to analyse compared to multi-ingredient products such as fruit yoghurt or a frozen pizza.

Next to the product itself, it is important to gain a clear idea about the different phases of the product’s life cycle it goes through from raw material extraction to its end of life. It is important to understand that life cycle phases can not only differ between different products but also within the same product group due to different environmental and social-economic conditions. For instance, the life cycle of a tomato grown, sold and consumed in Germany will differ from a tomato grown, sold and consumed in Mauritius. With most products you can differentiate five life cycle phases (see figure 8).

![Typical lifecycle phases of a FFV in a hotel supply chain](image)

Source: CSCP
In some cases, some life cycle phases are not relevant for a specific product. In other cases, you have to think about additional phases. However, it is important to understand that each phase of the life cycle is linked to certain practices performed by life cycle actors, such as supplier companies, consumers or disposal companies. The table below shows practices that usually will be performed for FFV products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifecycle phases</th>
<th>Related practices</th>
<th>Actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Planting, growing, harvesting or extraction of raw material</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal processing</td>
<td>Processing of raw materials, production and packaging of product</td>
<td>Intermediary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Distribution by truck</td>
<td>Intermediary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>Storage, in-store management (promotion/consumer communication, display)</td>
<td>Retailer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption/Usage</td>
<td>Preparation and consumption/use of products</td>
<td>Consumer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-of-life</td>
<td>Disposal, recycling, reuse of product/packaging</td>
<td>Consumer Disposal contractor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guiding questions to define product and life cycle

- What value is delivered by the product to your consumer?
- Which ingredients can be found in a product and which raw materials are relevant?
- Which are relevant supply chain steps, related actors and practices involved?
Hotspot categories

The Hot Spot Analysis focuses on ecological, social and economic impacts deriving from each phase of the product’s lifecycle. These impacts are structured in a systematic way when using the analysing each life cycle step.

Life cycle impacts in the environmental dimension are grouped around ecological aspects such as raw material use, energy use, water use, emissions to air and soil or land use. The same is done for the social and economic dimension. The table below shows a common selection of sustainable aspects and exemplary impacts, based on the project’s Supply-side mapping. Sustainability aspects and related impacts can be defined as needed with each Hot Spot Analysis depending on the product group and its related life cycle context. Retailers can adapt the list of aspects and add new depending on their relevance to the product in consideration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological aspects</th>
<th>Exemplary impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Raw) Material</td>
<td>e. g. All materials used along the life cycle of the product including agrochemicals and packaging/preservation materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>e. g. The energy used along the life cycle of the product, including electricity/fuel used in agriculture, transport, minimal processing and refrigeration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emission</td>
<td>e. g. GHG emissions, derived from agro-chemicals, machinery and transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (efficiency)</td>
<td>e. g. The amount of water used including water used for FFV growing/cultivation/washing and also water used during processing. The impact of excessive water use during irrigation, resulting in soil degradation, is included in the “Land use/soil management” aspect and emissions to water such as nutrients is included in the “emissions to water” aspect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use/soil management</td>
<td>e. g. The area of land used and the quality of land after use. This aspect also includes soil degradation (and crop rotation) and use of agro-chemicals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions to water</td>
<td>e. g. Chemicals and nutrients released to water bodies, process efficiency in terms of use of fresh water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions to air</td>
<td>e. g. Chemicals released to the air including fine particulates, volatile organic compounds (spraying) or noise (excluding GHG emissions from energy generation, these are included in Energy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>e. g. Excess solid waste (empty containers, disposed packaging, obsolete tools and machinery), hazardous waste, harvest residues, unsaleable FFV etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>e. g. Damage and loss of biological diversity including choice of seeds (monoculture/“terminator seeds”).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Social / economical aspects** | **Exemplary impacts**
--- | ---
Working Conditions | e.g. Labour conditions including topics like formal working conditions (incl. work contracts and job security), hygienic working conditions (access to water, toilet, etc.) and working hours.
Social Security | e.g. Contracts and obligatory social security provisions.
Training and Education | e.g. education on rights as employees and training on safe working conditions.
Occupational Health | e.g. Occupational safety and health in agriculture, logistics and warehousing.
Human Rights | e.g. Child labour and young workers, discrimination (equal pay/benefits/opportunities between temporary and permanent workers; between foreign/migrant and local workers and between men and women, sexual harassment), forced labour including discipline (harsh & inhumane treatment), lack of freedom of association.
Living wages | e.g. Minimum wages and Living wages.
Consumer Health | e.g. Health standards of products, product safety, information & transparency regarding health (allergens, nutritional value) and environmental issues, warnings if use is restricted or hazardous, declaration of control mechanisms for health and safety, phytosanitary residues and product traceability.
Product Quality | e.g. Longevity, use practicability (safe packaging, dosage and storing possibilities), transparency & information (reliable information, information adequate for main consumer group, voluntary information), fostering of social suppliers, ethical orientation of producers.
Monopoly situation | e.g. Only 1 supplier or buyer, dictating prices and/or flow of goods
Non-market competition | e.g. Distortion of competition via government intervention
Unfair pricing | Non internalisation of external costs or (cross-) subsidies
Step 2 – Identifying hot spots

Research and collection of data on sustainability impacts along the life cycle begins once you have a clear understanding of the product, its life cycle phases and sustainability aspects in scope.

You can use all available and feasible data sources such as scientific studies, databases and expert knowledge from the field. Quantitative data are desirable but you can as well rely on semi-quantitative or qualitative data. In case there are no reliable studies to be found that provide quantitative and qualitative data, it is useful to generate primary data through involvement of experts from the field or conducting interviews with companies that actually are operating in the value chain in scope. If you are not successful with either the one or the other way, you have to work with assumptions or with certain gaps in your hot spots analysis. Remember that you do not necessarily need information on every single aspect to understand the whole picture.

Tips for credible and reliable data collection

- Involve product category manager and cross-functional teams in the assessment
- Build on practical experience with your specific value chains
- Consider dialogue across the value chain (in order to fill knowledge gaps)
- Consider external advice (e.g. from specialised research institutes)
- Use existing in-house information, e.g. studies, LCAs, expert evaluations, certifications, audits
- Consult external hotspots platforms, e.g. TSC, Wrap (see case examples below)
- Integrate information from suppliers and other value chain partners

Analysing all collected data and information will allow you to evaluate and identify those ecological, social and economic impacts along the life cycle that are most relevant to the sustainability performance of the product (hot spots). Discuss research results with your colleagues or, if necessary, consult scientists, experts and stakeholders on a specific issue in order to receive balanced and solid results. The most solid way towards sound evaluation and rating is to invite multiple stakeholders to comment and provide their views on sustainability challenges that have been identified in your analysis.

The rating scheme can be kept very simple. Just discuss all possible impacts related to each single sustainability aspect in each single life cycle phase of the product. Everybody who is involved in the rating will provide his/her views and rate impacts on a scale from 3 (high impact), 2 (medium impact) to 1 (low impact). Round-up the results if more than one rating is provided for one aspect. All sustainability impacts that have been rated as high (3) are your identified hot spots.
If you do not use multi-stakeholder input for rating, it is advisable to have your rating verified by external such stakeholders as civil society organisations, associations and value chain actors such as your suppliers. This will increase the credibility of the process, might provide useful additional information and ensure that identified hotspots are meaningful.

### Hot Spots Example: Mango

The following example will show the results of the hot spot analysis for Mango juice produced, sold and consumed in India. This example is to show how results of a hot spot analysis can be used to generate information on a product and show priorities and opportunities for product improvements. At the same time, it shows opportunity areas to communicate successful improvement activities by the product buying company.

As a **first step** of the hot spot analysis the life cycle phases, related practices and actors involved has been defined for mango juice (see figure below).

![Figure 9: Practices and actors involved in the life cycle of the mango juice Source: CSCP](image_url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life Cycle Phase</th>
<th>Related Practices</th>
<th>Actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw material / Agriculture</td>
<td>- Cultivation, irrigation&lt;br&gt;- Use of fertilizers, pest control&lt;br&gt;- Harvesting&lt;br&gt;- Curing, washing, ripening, grading</td>
<td>- Small farmers in Karnataka, Kerela &amp; Andhra Pradesh, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing / Packaging</td>
<td>- Washing, peeling and cutting&lt;br&gt;- Extraction of mango pulp&lt;br&gt;- Straining, filtration and clarification&lt;br&gt;- Blending pasteurization&lt;br&gt;- Filling, sealing and sterilization&lt;br&gt;- Cooling, Packing in fruit juice carton</td>
<td>- Large Indian processing company and bottler, Mumbai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport and Logistics</td>
<td>- Transport and distribution from Mumbai to Surat by truck (250km)</td>
<td>- Logistic contractor, Mumbai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>- Product offer in supermarket&lt;br&gt;- No specific in-store communication</td>
<td>- Retail company, Mumbai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption &amp; End-of-life</td>
<td>- Consumption by middle-class family&lt;br&gt;- Ordinary disposal of packaging&lt;br&gt;- Packaging goes to land fill</td>
<td>- Consumer, Surat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In a **second step** environmental, social and economical impact areas have been selected for analysing sustainability impacts along the life cycle of a mango juice.

In a **third step** data on possible impacts have been collected and evaluated for each impact area in each life cycle phase (see figures below). Identified hot spots have been marked as red, medium impacts are highlighted in yellow and low impacts in green. Grey colour codes can be found for these areas where impact assessment was not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental impact area</th>
<th>Social impacts area</th>
<th>Economical impacts area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Raw) material input</td>
<td>General working conditions</td>
<td>Monopoly situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions to soil/water</td>
<td>Workers' health and safety</td>
<td>Non-market competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water use</td>
<td>Social security</td>
<td>Human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Environmental impacts area</td>
<td>Markets and competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy use and air Emissions</td>
<td>Training and education</td>
<td>Unfair pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use and Biodiversity</td>
<td>Living wages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consumer health and product quality**

![Module I Hot Spot Analysis](image-url)

**Figure 10:** Selected impact areas for mango juice from India  
**Source:** CSCP

**Figure 11:** Environmental impacts of mango juice  
**Source:** CSCP
The results from the hot spot analysis show that most “hot spots” occur in the phase of raw material extraction on farm level. There are serious challenges with the use of chemicals as pesticides and early ripening agents which among others pose a threat to soil and water resources, and embody a level of energy. Above that, the use of chemicals in the farms are a high risk for the health of farmers, especially as there are no suitable protective clothes available in the context of small-holder farmers. Working condition, social security, training
and education, human rights and wages are more major challenges in the agriculture phase and partly with the logistic company. The life cycle phases production/processing and retail are less of a challenge as processing company and retailer have put adequate practices in place to secure decent working conditions and ensure a health and safe work environment for their employees. Another hot spot could be identified with the consumer health and quality of the product as pesticide residues can be found in the end-product due to misuse of pesticides and fertilizer on farm level. The analysis reveals that the economical dimension is less of a challenge. However, small-holder farmers have a very difficult market position and suffer from a very low price which threatens their living.

Self-Learning Exercise I

**Hot Spot Identification**

Think of any FFV product your company purchases and describe the product life-cycle of the product. Consider each lifecycle phase separately and analyse relevant activities and actors. Just use the general knowledge you have about the product, this is fair enough to understand the concept of the hot spot methodology.

1. Name each life cycle phase (e.g. production phase...)
2. Describe actors involved and their function in the life cycle (e.g. farmer grows crops...)
3. Explain each activity you are aware of in the life cycle of your imaginary product (e.g. irrigation of plants...)
4. Note down potential environmental or socio-economic impacts resulting from activities in each life cycle phase
5. Evaluate each impact on a scale from 1 to 3 (1=low impact, 2=medium impact, 3=high impact).
6. Involve a second person (a colleague or a family member), ask for his/her evaluation and discuss in order to achieve consensus.
7. Draw a hot spot map showing the life cycle phases and hot spots you identified (impact with high impact ratings)
Step 3 – Prioritising actions to resolve hotspots

This step is about exploring and identifying feasible measures (e.g. projects) to tackle identified impacts or tap potential benefits. Think about existing solutions in form of standards, sustainability initiatives, and adopting feasible management system or innovate new solutions.

In the field of Fresh Fruits and Vegetable solutions are often offered by sustainable agricultural standards for the raw extraction phase. Adopting such a standard can dissolve many hot spots at once, as these standards are specifically designed to tackle environmental and social-economic problems at farm level.

Concerning the manufacturing, environmental management systems such as ISO 14001 can provide a feasible solution to hot spots identified. Besides this you find many other specific management systems that will help supply chain actors to improve on single sustainability aspects. For instance, ISO 50001 for energy management will show manufacturing companies ways to consume energy in a more efficient and smarter way.

If challenges seem too big to manage, forming alliances with industry partners, civil society or political actors might be an option to consider.

A feasibility assessment of feasible measures and actions should in any case consider (a) the relevance of the action in terms of improvements of negative impacts and benefits for your business or society as such (Hotspots), (b) your ability of control and influence (including barriers such as costs) and (c) your existing practices in place in order to determine effective improvement potentials (see figure 14).

![Figure 14: Prioritizing potential project/action](#)

Source: CSCP

**Relevance**

- High
- Low

**Improvement potential**

- High
- Low

**Ability to control / influence**

- High
- Low

**Figure 14: Prioritizing potential project/action**

Source: CSCP
Tips for selecting measures to address hotspots

- Evaluate costs and benefits of action (high risks; low hanging fruits)
- Consider your own sphere of influence and how to expand it
- Use synergies between hotspots (e.g. material use, energy and emissions reduction; keep in mind trade-offs)
- Check best practices into account
- Join forces and form strategic partnerships for speed and scale
- Consider benefits of actions in terms of consumer communication
- Link to your company’s sustainability strategy

Self-Learning Exercise II

Identify actions to resolve hot spots

Use your outcomes from the Self-Learning Exercise I

1. Think about any actions that could resolve an identified hot spot and describe them with a brief sentence
2. Estimate how realistic it is that such action can be implemented and nominate the top three actions, which seems to be most feasible to you.
3. Describe your top three actions in more detail and think about a) actors which have to be involved b) potential benefits of the actions c) potential barriers and d) the role and influence your company could play to implement the action, considering already existing activities
4. Establish an action plan for your most promising action that highlight a) goal of the action, b) how the goal will be accomplished, c) actors involved to reach the goal, d) necessary resources (time and materials), e) means of monitoring success, f) evidence that will indicate completion.
Case Study: The Sustainability Consortium

The Sustainability Consortium (TSC) is a membership organization. The organization is headed by the University of Arkansas and Arizona State University. The members of TSC include more than 100 corporate and civil society organizational affiliates. The main objective of the consortium is to develop and promote tools to support decision-making on product sustainability throughout product life cycle in the consumer goods sector.

TSC offers three tools to its members: 1) category sustainability profile, 2) key performance indicators 3) sustainability snapshot. The category sustainability profiles (CSP) details knowledge of sustainability aspects of a product category in its entire lifecycle. The information on sustainability aspects is based on scientific research. This information is highlighted in a document called CSP. The CSP also lists key performance indicators (KPIs). KPIs represent indicators to measure performance of suppliers on key sustainability issues in the lifecycle of respective product category. Finally, the sustainability snapshot is a 1-page overview to relevant issues, hotspots, and improvement opportunities.

HSA and The Sustainability Consortium

TSC’s methodology to identify hot spots is based on scientific research. Today, TSC has developed information and metrics for 110 comprehensive consumer product categories, representing over two thirds of the items typically sold in retail. TSC identifies materially significant environmental and social issues, or “hot spots,” across the product life cycle mainly based on the findings of relevant LCA studies.

An activity at a specific life cycle stage is defined as a hot spot if the corresponding LCA study indicates that the activity contributes more than 10% of the total impact in one impact category or more than 5% in two or more impact categories (Dooley, K, Johnson, J, 2015). For example, water use accounts for the major impact in the agriculture and irrigation stage in all food products and energy use in use-phase stage in energy products so they are hot spots for the categories of food and energy-using products respectively.

HSA profiles by TSC

TSC has developed category hot spots profiles for 110 comprehensive consumer product categories. The members can use TSC category profiles and KPIs as a basis for conversation and measurement of sustainability performance in the supply chains. TSC category survey assesses suppliers overall performance in a category. This information can be used to rank suppliers of members. They can develop KPIs and measure performance of suppliers on individual KPIs.

Picture 1: TSC supplier-buyer data exchange for greening supply chains (Source: Ahold)
**Expected learning outcomes**

By following this handbook and the associated trainings hoteliers ought to be able to implement green procurement with regard to local supply of fresh fruit and vegetables (FFV). And

- Get to know major building blocks and tools you might use to achieve sustainable FFV products
- Learn about the establishment of a supplier code of conduct in order to commit your suppliers to fulfil basic social and environmental requirements
- Knowledge on the use of agriculture standards to increase sustainability along the value chain of specific produce
- Respond to consumers demanding locally sourced FFV products
- Use the power of multi-stakeholder dialogues by asking suppliers, civil society and other actors about their preferences and opinion with regard to your activities
- How to enact supply chain projects with supply chain partner to solve supply chain challenges and tap new business potentials related to the sustainability area
- Improve your own knowledge and generate customer trust in your supply chains by realizing supply chain transparency
- Optimize packaging, transportation and your storage facilities

**Introduction**

Sustainable Supply Chain Management emphasizes communication, collaboration, and coordination between your supply chain functions and those of your suppliers, customers, and other service provider. You may also build critical intangible assets such as product and service innovation, risk and opportunity management, alliances and networks, brand equity and reputation.

---

**Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM)**

is the management of material, information and capital flows as well as cooperation among companies along the supply chain while integrating goals from all three dimensions of sustainability, which are derived from customer and stakeholder requirements

(Securing/Müller 2008)
Among others SSCM actions can lead to the following benefits:

1. Better risk allocation: as risks are redistributed to stakeholders with best competency to manage it.
2. Improved resource efficiency: less resources use, recycling of materials, through optimised operations and logistics.
3. Greater visibility of contracting opportunities: by evaluating products/services' whole-life-cycle costs.
4. Better-defined procurement requirements: by engaging supply chain when shaping the technical specifications.
5. Improved supplier performance: suppliers are monitored and have to comply with your sustainability requirements.
6. Improved reputation: as company (and different actors in the supply-chain) takes responsibility for people and planet, goal delivery.

Potential barriers in the life cycle:

1. Competition (Cultivation/production).
2. Focus on company only (Cultivation/production).
3. Low consumer awareness on quality, health and environment (Consumption).
5. Low cost of natural resources (End-of-Life).
6. Lack of resources, know-how, and information (all phases).
7. Complex and global supply chains including purchase of seeds and agro-chemicals (all phases).

This handbook highlights some important building blocks to manage more sustainable FFV supply (see Picture 2).
As you are aware of your key supply chain sustainability risks and opportunities as well as of own business priorities, stakeholder expectations and the current market situation, it is important to translate these into a clear set of rules for your suppliers. A common practice to establish basic expectations and pass them on to your suppliers is to define a Code of Conduct (CoC) or a sustainable procurement charter. A CoC is a set of rules about how to behave and do business with others. Hotel companies use CoCs to define and communicate basic requirements and expectations that apply to either all or a specific part of their suppliers. CoC often are one building block of SSCM activities of retailers and closely linked to sustainable sourcing or sustainable purchasing guidelines.

CoCs include basic requirements and expectation linked to common sustainability issues such as human rights, environmental management and anti-corruption. In contrast to commodity standards, requirements and expectations formulated are more universal and less technical in order to make them fit to various applications across suppliers, countries and product groups.

Developing and implementing CoC comes with initial cost for hotel company and suppliers. To avoid duplication of efforts and reduce costs for implementation and audits, brands and retailers are advised to first explore existing standards that might be feasible to tackle risks in their supply chain. For this reason, there are several initiatives and meta-standards that have been designed for all types of companies and which can be referred to when developing a CoC for your own suppliers. UNGC has listed common social and environmental aspects that should be considered when writing and adopting a code of conduct:

### Human Rights and Labour
1. Forced labour
2. Child labour
3. Working hours
4. Wages and benefits
5. Humane treatment
6. Non-discrimination
7. Freedom of association and collective bargaining
8. Occupational safety
9. Emergency preparedness
10. Occupational injury and illness
11. Fire safety
12. Industrial hygiene
13. Physically demanding work
14. Machine safeguarding

### Environment
15. Material toxicity and chemicals
16. Raw material use
17. Recyclability and end of life of products
18. Greenhouse gas emissions
19. Energy use
20. Water use and waste water treatment
21. Air pollution
22. Biodiversity

### Anti-Corruption
23. Conflict of interest
24. Gifts, meals and entertainment
25. Bribery and kickbacks
26. Accounting and business records
27. Protecting information
28. Reporting misconduct

---

**Table 5:**
Common social and environmental aspects relevant for sustainable sourcing, Source: UNGC, BSR 2010: Supply Chain Sustainability
Hotel companies should decide on which of the above listed social and environmental aspects they would like to adopt and pass on to their suppliers. All aspects should be interpreted in a way that it fits the business context in which they operate.

**AccorHotels: Procurement Charter 21**

AccorHotels pays careful attention to instilling its sustainable development commitments across the entire supply chain, in particular through the Procurement Charter 21 that shares our employee relations, social responsibility and environmental commitments with suppliers. The charter reflects other meta standards and requirements such as the UN universal declaration of human rights, the core conventions of the ILO and the UN Global Compact. Employees are expected to verify that suppliers have signed the Charter and that their subcontractors comply with the same standards. Suppliers also have to agree to participate in the sustainable development performance review and authorize AccorHotels to conduct audits. Any supplier that is unable to meet certain of these requirements must inform AccorHotels so that an agreement can be reached on the corrective and preventive measures to be taken and the timetable for implementing them. Failure to comply with any of the criteria may result in the termination of business dealings with the supplier in question.

When our suppliers sign this charter, they agree to four key principles:

- comply with AccorHotels’ social, societal and environmental commitments;
- ensure that their own suppliers also respect these requirements;
- participate in AccorHotels’ evaluation process and implement any necessary remedial action plans;
- authorize AccorHotels and/or external providers commissioned by the Group to conduct audits and implement any necessary action plans. Managing the CSR and ethical risks regarding the owners of managed and franchised hotels is a more recent concern.

*Source: AccorHotels*
Self-Learning Exercise III

Establishment of a Code of Conduct for your company

Use the table on common social and environmental aspects relevant for sustainable sourcing as basis for this exercise.

1. Reflect buying practices of your company and ask yourself whether sustainability elements are covered or not.
2. Note down all sustainability expectations you ask your suppliers either directly or indirectly by selecting a certain quality standard when your company purchases certain FFV produce.
3. Compare your existing expectations with these aspects highlighted in table 5 on common social and environmental aspects.
4. Identify gaps between aspects of existing practices and aspects highlighted in table 5.
5. Reflect and note down benefits and barriers you would face when asking your suppliers of FFV produce to meet expectations related to social and environmental aspects highlighted in table 5.
6. Prioritise practices of which you think are most feasible to be asked from FFV suppliers of your company by rating each single aspects on a scale from 1 (not feasible) to 5 (highly feasible).
7. Taken into account potential benefits and barriers identified under 5 and 6, note down all aspects from table 6 of which you think could be pasted in a supplier code of conduct for your company.

Agricultural Production Standards

The evolving of intensive farming methods and very long supply chains have led to environmental and social challenges in food supply chains. The large amount of chemicals used with fruits and vegetables, poor working conditions and no transparency concerning the produce’s origin are just a few to mention. This development is a sharp contrast to the trend we experience in consumer expectations. The majority of consumers and hotel guests prefer authenticity and products that are better quality, healthier, fresher. Such attributes you find with local produce and seasonal fruit and vegetables, products that originate from short supply chains or when organic farming is preferred. Some commodities have specific external standards for better quality or reduced environmental and social impact. Examples include internationally traded crops (e.g. palm oil, soy, sugar, cotton, coffee and cocoa), animal products (e.g. seafood) or wood and paper products. Especially for FFV you can find various standards that focus on the farming level. They help farmers to manage common sustainability risks that are linked to farming practices and lead to higher product quality. Hotels can use these standards as a reference point to mitigate and control risks specific to the supply chains of FFV. As doing so they can benefit from existing approaches to resolve commodity specific risks and profit from the positive image of the majority of sustainability standards and labels.
Hotels can use these standards and labels to ensure that products they buy meet sustainability requirements. In the context of FFV sold in your hotel, sustainable agriculture standards help you to identify these kind of FFVs that have been produced in a more sustainable manner than conventional produce. For instance, environmental standards control the use of pesticides, responsibly manage emissions to soil and water bodies, or ensure efficient use of water on the farm. Above this, standards often also improve working conditions, social security and deal with discrimination and unfair payments. It is also very important to notice that sustainability standards often also instil improved farming practices which will have a positive effect on the financial situation of farmers and provide additional incentives for farmers as well. Prominent examples for such sustainability certification schemes are Fair Trade, Rainforest Alliance or Global Gap.

These certification schemes provide standards for different agriculture products. For instance, you will find sustainability standards for cash-crops like coffee, tea and cocoa, but also fruits like pineapples, banana and vegetables. Producers have to comply with these standards and go through the certification process to be allowed to sell products with the corresponding label.

While standards like Global G.A.P., Fair Trade and Rainforest Alliance aim to make production practices more sustainable by for instance reducing the use of synthetic fertilizer or improve water efficient use on the farms, various organic standards even put the bare higher. Although organic standards vary from country to county, they all aim to restrict the use of synthetic inputs and switch to organic fertilizer and pesticides instead, avoid genetically modified seeds and prohibit chemical inputs in the farm.

Case Study: Agricultural standards of Global GAP

Global G.A.P. is a global organization with the objective: safe, sustainable agriculture worldwide. It sets voluntary standards for the certification of agricultural products around the globe–and more and more producers, suppliers and buyers are harmonizing their certification standards to match.

Members create private sector incentives for agricultural producers worldwide to adopt safe and sustainable practices to make this world a better place to live in for our children. Globally connecting farmers and brand owners in the production and marketing of safe food to provide reassurance for consumers. We lay the foundation for the protection of scarce resources by the implementation of Good Agricultural Practices with a promise for a sustainable future.

Source: Global G.A.P. 2016
Self-Learning Exercise IV

Identify feasible commodity standards for your use

1. Think of all commodity standards you are aware of, note them down and link them to product groups they cover
2. Describe what kind of impact these standards want to achieve
3. Reflect on benefits the deliver to the environment and in term of social-economic aspects
4. Discuss barriers that need to be overcome to generate the benefits you have described before.

Local and regional sourcing

Local or regional sourcing is another building block of SSCM. Customers around the world appreciate regionally produced food products and pay increasingly attention to the produce’s origin. Especially with FFV regional sourcing is beneficial.

In the environmental dimension sustainability benefits of local and regional FFV derive from shorter transportation distances. Mileages are reduced leading to higher level of resource efficiency and less emissions. Guests perceive local and regional FFV as fresher and better tasting. In addition, regional sourcing will support family farms as well as local and regional food economy, most consumers are in favour of both arguments. For instance, 96 per cent of consumers in Austria would prefer a product from the region over a product from abroad if the price is the same and 78 per cent would even pay a higher price. The same survey states that 33 per cent of consumer questions are buying more products from the region than they did in the past, underlining the trend towards regional products. Local and regional sourcing also improves transparency in the supply chain. As suppliers are near by, evaluation and monitoring of production practices are facilitated and consumer get the opportunity to visit farms and get an impression of farming practices.

Hotel guest do not only get the opportunity to taste and experience local dishes but also have the opportunity to learn about local production, visit farms in the surrounding and learn about living situation of people in their destination. Hotels can use local sourcing to tell a story around products they offer. However, the definition of local or regional is difficult and you can find various approaches. Some companies label products as regional if all raw materials and production is taking place in the same country. Others define regional products as products that come from not more than 200 kilometres of where it is offered. The definition of regional is often also linked to specific food or production traditions rooted in a specific region, which might be the most interesting way of definition for hotels. As opportunities with local and regional sourcing are numerous it is important to provide a clear definition and communicate in a coherent manner to consumers in order to tap related business opportunities. Next to food, hotels often source building materials, furniture, cleaning products or artisan products locally.
Self-Learning Exercise V

Check your potentials to procure FFV produce from Mauritius

1. List all FFV produce that are produced in Mauritius
2. Flag all produce your companies procures and estimate the quantity per month.
3. Reflect on the share of produce you buy as imported merchandise in the business as usual scenario
4. Think about reasons why your company buys imported FFVs instead of buying from local producer
5. Describe what is needed to allow you to switch from imported to local produce.

Supplier engagement and dialogue

A sustainable supply chain will only be achieved as you communicate and collaborate with all relevant supply chain actors towards common goals. In order to engage your suppliers for working towards sustainable value chains, you should establish an inclusive process to ensure communication with suppliers on a regular basis.

This is to provide necessary information and to sharpen their understanding of your supply chain goals and practices. This will facilitate acceptance and support and allow your suppliers to take decisions in line with your common goals. However, communication should not be one-way. You should give your suppliers also the opportunity to feedback and contribute to your supply chain strategy. This will even more generate commitment and ownership, and in addition provide you valuable information on their perceptions, their goals and potential barriers they might face when it comes to implementing your expectations. Supplier dialogue and engagement events provide space to receive feedback on your expectations from your suppliers and allow you to define and refine your supply chain strategy in a collaborative way.

However, hotels have to decide on who they want to work with and which level of cooperation they want. Some of your suppliers you just want to keep informed on your activities while with others there might be attractive opportunities for deeper collaboration through projects or other means. Segmenting your suppliers allows your company to commit resources and prioritize your focus on the most critical parts and develop plans for continuous improvements.
Self-Learning Exercise VI

Strategic supplier segmentation for improved sustainability performance

Answer the following questions for your company to gain an understanding about which suppliers are important to work with in order to achieve more sustainable products.

Level of control
a) Tier: Which suppliers sell to your company directly, and which are sub-tier suppliers?
b) Spend: Which suppliers does your company have the highest spend with, including direct and indirect spend, and potentially therefore the most influence with?
c) Replicability: Are there alternative suppliers or substitutions?

Supplier sustainability risks
a) Risks: Which suppliers have the biggest sustainability risks in your supply chain, such as risks to the environment, human rights, labour, and ethics?
b) Category: Which suppliers, including suppliers for products and processes, are most business critical for your company?
Supply chain projects

Based on risk assessment and your supplier segmentation, companies might decide to initiate projects with supply chain partners. Supply chain projects range from functional improvements, for instance, on the IT level to large-scale improvement programmes and aim to optimise the coordination of material, information and financial flows between supply chain actors. In the scope of sustainability, such projects seek to improve on sustainability impacts that occur along the value chain and thereby improve overall sustainability performance in the value chain. For this reason, you have to have a clear understanding of which impacts occur in which phases of the supply chain and who are the actors in control of problematic practices or processes. A hot spots analysis provides you with this kind of information and therefore is an advisable first step prior to each supply chain project.

For instance, if you have identified sustainability risks related to the level of pesticides used in the farming phase of your supply chain, you have various options to improve this situation:

1. You might **switch the supplier** hoping or knowing that an alternative supplier uses less pesticides so that you can solve the identified hot spot.
2. A second option is to **communicate the problem** to the supplier and ask him to improve his practices, for instance by asking him to comply with respective standards or engaging in supplier dialogue (see above).
3. Another option is to start a **supply chain project** aiming to support your supplier to improve on the identified problem.

Such a supply chain project need to involve the supply chain actor controlling the problematic supply chain phase, but is not limited to these. For instance, if you want to solve the sustainability challenge concerning an overuse of pesticides on farm level, feasible supply chain projects could have the goal to enable farmers to join a certification programme or establish trainings focusing on responsible pesticide use. For both projects involving third parties such as a training institution or a certification body would be beneficial.

Supply chain projects can also be designed to establish a long term cooperation with suppliers. This will establish trust and can be beneficial for retailer and supplier. A long-term partnership allows to solve specific sustainability challenges, increase quality and benefits over time and become a competitive advantage in the market. Some retailers offer supplier platform that provide information and best practices but also space for interaction and trainings. These retailers can offer an additional service and improve the relationship to their suppliers.

However, specific sustainability challenges are too big to be solved in a single project and need further collaboration. One example is the palm oil production that causes multiple serious sustainability impacts ranging from deforestation to monocultures causing overuse of chemicals and soil degradation. In order to solve these supply chain problems commonly found in supply chains of products containing palm oil, retailers have been taking an active role in initiating roundtables that bring different stakeholders together to seek, define and implement feasible solutions. For instance, the council for sustainable palm oil comprises retailers and palm oil producers, civil society organisations and policy makers committed to making the palm oil supply chain more sustainable.
Case Study: Responsible sourcing at Marriott Port-au-Prince, Haiti

In 2015, Marriott Port-au-Prince Hotel has been opening its doors, embracing a number of sustainability principals. In addition to the 200 new hotel jobs and hospitality training the Marriot hotel in Port-au-Prince is sourcing goods, food and amenities from local small businesses, social enterprises, farms and Haitian artisans. The unique craftsmanship of more than a dozen Haitian-based artisans, including the hotel's art curator, Philippe Dodard, is showcased throughout the hotel's guest rooms, corridors, great room, conference areas, restaurant and courtyard. From signature metalworks, paper mache masks and voodoo flags, to contemporary photography and stone and wood sculptures using natural and recycled materials, the deep, vibrant art culture of Haiti is on display. The hotel will also feature weekly art markets where guests can purchase art from local artists on the hotel grounds.

TOMS is a key supplier to the Marriott Port-au-Prince, with the company providing custom-designed shoes made in Haiti for each of the hotel's staff. Additionally, TOMS is producing shoes in Haiti as part of its commitment to help establish and support a responsible shoe industry in the country. As a locally staffed and operated facility, TOMS and its manufacturing partner, LXJ Golden Pacific, economically empower individuals while giving international businesses an opportunity to invest in Haiti's future.

Marriott Port-au-Prince is sourcing 100 percent of its coffee from Haitian company, Rebo Coffee, which employs several hundred women who carefully select the beans for quality. Rebo is a socially responsible business that is investing in agricultural and financial training for small and independent farmers.

Marriott Port-au-Prince is pleased to be the first hotel in Haiti to source produce from Afe Neg Combite, a Kenscoff-based co-op made up of 5,500 farmers employing a total of 8,000 people. Marriott’s procurement team has been working with the farmers for more than a year to help them produce, package and transport fruits and vegetables in ways that meet the quality, yield and lower waste standards of the hospitality industry.

The hotel is sourcing sustainable, fair-trade soaps and amenities from local producer Ayiti Natives. The products are made by Haitians using local Haitian herbs, nuts and fruits. Ayiti Natives was founded by Caroline Sada, a Haitian American social entrepreneur who left a job with a well-known U.S. cosmetics company after the Haiti earthquake struck – she wanted to give back to the local community. All of her employees are women, and most come from the most destitute villages in Haiti.

Source: Boston Haitian Reporter 2015
Traceability

Traceability can be used as a tool to improve companies’ supply chain sustainability and to advance sustainability objectives. It aims for identifying and tracking a product life cycle from the raw material extraction to the finished good. It allows to gain and convey information about components and materials of a product as well as about their transformation throughout the value chain.

What is traceability?

“Traceability is the ability to identify and trace the history, distribution, location and application of products, parts and materials, to ensure the reliability of sustainability claims, in the areas of human rights, labour (including health and safety), the environment and anti-corruption”

(BCR/UNGC 2016)

Only a rather small part of commodities is traceable on sustainability attributes today. If traceability is used by companies, it often is concerned with specific quality aspects to comply with laws and regulation, for instance, to ensure consumer safety. However, especially agriculture, food and beverage companies have been trying to assure even higher levels of security, safety and add sustainability attributes to the quality dimension of their food products offered to consumers. One reason is increasing demand of consumers and civil society organisations for greater transparency fuelled by scandals such as the meat European adulteration scandal in 2013, the Chinese milk scandal in 2008, the Thai seafood slavery scandal 2014 or reports on massive environmental destruction through agriculture systems or social grievances on and around farms. Scandals will lead to product recalls and business disruption, which comes with high costs and reputation damages. Against this background, it is also important for hotels manage risks and safeguard their reputation. Being able to trace the path of food from farm to fork can support such endeavours and requires working together with suppliers.

In order to establish traceability, it needs a system providing information about the components of products, parts and materials throughout the supply chain. Many companies collect data internally, but are only able to trace one step up and one step down in the value chain. In addition, data are often incomplete and/or not consistent. The challenge is complexity and costs involved to establish a consistent system that allows traceability in an efficient way. The good news is that innovative technology can support here and you do not have to do it all at once. You can use supplier dialogues and results from your hot spot analysis to talk to suppliers about sustainability challenges and learn about their capabilities and what information they have and what they trace. If you identify gaps you can, for instance, try to make your supplier improve quality systems and processes to check incoming products and get more information from their suppliers on sustainability risks you have identified.
Use emerging technologies and traceability practices to improve the way how information is transferred at your transfer points in the value chain and convince value chain partners to do the same. Software and IT infrastructure provider increasingly offer integrated modules for traceability in their systems and digital solutions as barcodes and QR codes are available in the market. QR codes allow to link products directly to websites and social media and open new opportunities for consumer engagement and feedback mechanisms. In the context of the hospitality sector, these technologies give hotels new opportunities to communicate to their hotel guests and connect them to the products the eat or use in your hotel. Guests could track origins, learn about farmers and practices, experience the story behind the product. This story can easily be linked to further services, such as bicycle tours, offered at your destination.

Traceability is also important if you want to make specific sustainability claims. For this reason, most sustainability certification schemes provide means for traceability. For instance, UTZ certified offers a traceability system for certified cocoa and coffee products allowing fully automated and accurate traceability. Fair Trade is offering traceability schemes of some of their labelled commodities, while all organic certification schemes allow traceability to safeguard the organic product claim. In general, you can differentiate between different types of traceability models. Product segregation ensures that certified materials are physically separated from non-certified materials, so that certified products only contain certified content. Identity preservation models make sure that materials are not only separated but additionally that certified materials are also not mixed, allowing to trace back raw materials of a product to a specific farm. In contrast the mass balance traceability models allow mixing of certified and non-certified materials but make sure that the exact volume of certified material entering the chain will also leave the chain as the product is sold. Book and claim is the lightest traceability model as material is not traced at every step of the value chain. In this model it is sufficient that the amount of certified material entering the chain is equivalent with the amount being purchased in the end of the value chain. In this model companies can buy an amount of materials as certificate via a trading platform and claim this amount and related impacts at the final product, although the sold product does not contain the “booked” certified material.
Case Study: Farmforce (see appendix for lengthier presentation)

Farmforce has been created to help smallholders gain access to formal markets and improve the effectiveness of outgrower schemes. Farmforce not only increases small growers’ chances of selling to new markets but also makes traceability and compliance to food safety standards easy and effective. It uses innovative mobile technology to redefine the relationship between growers, manufacturers and markets (i.e. moving from hierarchical to direct relationship). Farmforce is a user friendly software to efficiently manage outgrower schemes and contract farming programmes. It has been created by the Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture with co-funding by the State Secretariat for Economic Affairs of Switzerland.

How does Farmforce work?
Farmforce is a mobile software that aims to make traceability of grower activities, harvest, financing of loans and audits easier.

The software simplifies the requirements of food sustainability and safety standards such as GLOBAL G.A.P. It provides growers recommendations on the dosage of fertilizer, seeds and chemicals to meet safety and sustainability standards. It enables growers to manage cropping cycles, harvesting, input usage and yield forecasts. The software alerts supply chain managers if the farmer exceeds the recommended levels of chemical use or does not comply with food safety standards.

Example of Farmforce implementation
Adisagua is a smallholder agricultural initiative in Guatemala, under the umbrella of parent company FairFruit. The company is a certified member of the farm assurance programme GLOBAL G.A.P. As a member it must company with the guidelines of GLOBAL G.A.P on quality and pesticide use. The company signed up to use Farmforce in 2013 aiming to enhance its grower management capability. Before signing up to use Farmforce, company was collecting GLOBAL G.A.P data of farmers as far away as 70 KM.

The auditors have been spending half a day every week collecting this data on papers and then sending them to headquarters. To make auditing more effective, the company decided to use Farmforce.

The software was rolled out across a 150 hectare area, covering 220 French bean smallholder farms. The information about farmers, field profile and harvested yield was collected and uploaded in real-time. Now the field auditor could spend less time processing data and could spend their saved time on more strategic activities.

Farmers could also be evaluated better and targeted training could be provided. As a result of the implementation of Farmforce the Adisagua could ensure compliance with GLOBAL G.A.P more easily, improving knowledge of farmers, personal profiles and locations, transfer information between field and head office quicker and save paper.

Source: SFSA 2016
Expected learning outcomes

Hoteliers will have some key elements through which they can engage with their guests to foster consumer engagement (in particular with regard to FFV), both from a communication angle as well as an activity-based approach.

Methodology description and principles

Engaging with consumers in a hospitality context is characterised by its very short temporal aspect. In Mauritius longer term engaging can be undertaken with the sales channel that has also a prescribing dimension, namely the tour operators.

Hence one can distinguish 2 phases in engaging with the consumer:
1) The up-stream marketing phase via tour operators, brochures and internet presence
2) The on-the-ground phase once the customer is within the hotel premises

Application

Since on-the-ground engagement does not depend on 3rd parties and can be implemented by the establishments individually, or as part of a hotel group policy, this is the area to be focused on in the short-term. Moreover, it is the phase where face-to-face engagement with the customer takes place, i.e. in the real and not virtual world.

Engaging with hotel clients on the theme of sustainable agriculture can be incorporated into the range of activities and animations offered by the hotel. Whereas hotels propose European food as part of their offering, the originality and exoticism of Mauritian FFV sometimes gets diluted or even lost. Indeed, on observing some food buffets of Mauritian establishments, one wonders if one is really on a south sea tropical island...

The connection between prepared food items and their original appearance disappears, if the client has no possibility of identifying the FFV in its original state.
Therefore, displaying specific and unique FFV in their original harvested form alongside the prepared dish, would establish that link.
How many non-Mauritians have ever seen:

- chouchou
- pipengaille
- bitter gourd
- patisson
- voehm

and their presence at buffets would underline the exoticism of their destination.

Going beyond that, proposing to customers courses/insights into creole cooking would demonstrate the originality and diversity of Mauritian FFV in both its raw and cooked state. Within the hotel, customers could see the raw produce and then transform it and cook it themselves.

### Storytelling

A neat way on engaging with the consumer is via „storytelling“. The idea is to make the product less anonymous by telling a story about it, thus prompting the reader’s curiosity. For many of the above illustrated FFV and others specific to the Mascareignes or region, small information leaflets could be provided in the dining menu, at the buffet or in the hotel information packet explaining,

- Origin
- Type of cultivation
- Seasonality
- Uses in cooking
- Uses in other domains (e.g. medicine, household, decoration)

The amount of information needs to be measured and not overwhelming. Moreover, one story per day suffices, i.e. one FFV. Hence in the course of a week, one could discover the stories of up to 5 FFV (due to some nights being „themed“ in Mauritian hotels).

The ultimate step in getting consumers engaged with sustainable FFV is to organise excursions: “Visit your producer” would give hotel customers real insight into how and where the FFV are cultivated: bush-fruit, stem-based, tuber, rhizome and give them a real appreciation of the uniqueness of some of the FFV (and ultimately of the holiday destination they have chosen).
Gaming (approche ludique)

Whereas the consumer in the storytelling context remains passive, an approach to getting him to become active is through gaming. The idea here is to make the discovery of the FFV a game with an objective and ultimately a reward. It can be seen as an extension of the storytelling. Hence in the hotel context, the game could be along the lines of

- „find today’s featured FFV at the buffet“
- „was it raw or cooked“
- „did you try it“
- „how do you rate it“

The FFV location at the buffet could have small coloured papers or tokens. Once filled with answers to the above questions, these may then be handed in to the waiter or barkeeper for a complementary house drink/house cocktail (which in turn could be made of local fruit/rum) ... Moreover, the answers could provide useful feedback.

From activity to informing

Indeed this range of animations and activities could feed into holiday promotional material, be it a tour operator catalogue or the hotel’s own internet web-presence.

These on-the-ground activities ought to be supported with information materials (e.g. leaflet on local and sustainable produce).

A very informative approach but resource-intensive and requiring precise data, is to display sustainability values or computations for food items offered (see case study Les Orangeries).

An original and engaging medium is the so-called “participatory infographic”. This is a visualisation of a topic, in which the reader/audience is invited to participate by giving feedback through a sensory mechanism.
Capitalise on one’s location

Most hotels in Mauritius are fortunate to have ample grounds containing many trees with tropical fruit. In particular, coconut palms can be a source of learning, communicating and practising sustainability. A high-profile example from a destination bearing a strong resemblance to Mauritian hotels is the Ranweli Eco-Lodge in Sri Lanka. In particular, Ranweli implements sustainability in the way it cares for its coconut palms.

Indeed Ranweli is fortunate to be situated on a 9 ha peninsula (not an unusual size by Mauritian standards), and used to be a coconut grove. The hotel maintains the exploitation of the coconuts and related products on a modest scale.

It regularly presents to the clients as part of a guest activity, the products derived from the coconut exploitation: juice, harvested “toddy” (i.e. fermented coconut sap), jaggery and even fibres for weaving. It is quite a sight to see someone climbing an 8-metre palm and then tightrope walking from treetop to treetop. More significantly, Ranweli uses part of its surface area as its own fruit and vegetable orchard. This is all the more important since there is no direct road access to the resort and food produce needs to be ferried over by punt!

The resort displays information panels about wildlife in general in the resort and at the location of the vegetable patches, individual labelling of the plants and herbs grown. Ranweli has been the runner-up of the EU SWITCH Asia Greening Hotels awards 2013.

For example: one could have a display of local FFV (with some explanation) and one ask the viewer to vote for which type he would like to try at the following day’s buffet: the response could be elicited via tokens, buttons or even placing the chosen FFV in a certain box/plate.

Also creating visual or physical representations are very engaging.
Summary of communication channels

- Communiquer sur son site Internet, les réseaux sociaux, blogs dédiés, forums (Facebook, Google+, Pinterest, Youtube, Instagram, …) et sites d’avis (Tripadvisor, Dismoiou, Zoover, Booking, Vinivi, Expedia, Google+, …)
- Réaliser des affichages pédagogiques simples et précis sur les actions réalisables par les clients
- Illustrer certaines actions par des photos, schémas, montrant l’implication du personnel.
- Afficher et communiquer sur les certifications et labels des produits utilisés.
- Ajouter une partie Développement Durable dans le questionnaire de satisfaction client.
- Mettre en exergue les enjeux pour l’établissement et la planète • Communiquer sur des réalisations et des faits ex : réalisation d’une étiquette environnementale, mise en avant des écolabels obtenus.
- Communiquer auprès des fournisseurs et partenaires institutionnels : OT, CDT, CRT, Agences de voyages, partenaires commerciaux, Agences de vente en ligne, TO, ADEME, Collectivités Territoriales …
- Donner des résultats tangibles et quantifiés
- Être transparent sur les marges de progression sur certaines thématiques
- Faire état des différents partenariats privés et publics
- Ne pas réduire sa communication Développement Durable aux aspects environnementaux mais l’étendre aux thématiques économiques et sociétales.
- Valoriser le patrimoine naturel, la connaissance de son territoire et de ses richesses, en s’appuyant sur les acteurs qui peuvent les faire découvrir (ex : associations LPO, CPIE, …).

- Afficher les labels écologiques ou certifications obtenues
Conclusion of „on-the-ground“ approach

These 5 approaches to consumer engagement

• displaying FFV
• Mauritian cooking classes
• excursions to producers and markets
• capitalising on one’s location
• information presentation

and flanked by a permanent communication campaign (in hotel publications materials, room displays, website, catalogues)

ought to contribute to shifting customer demand from imported agricultural produce to local FFV.

In the long-term and in cooperation with sustainability-oriented tour operators one could even contemplate different pricing policies for catering arrangements: discount for local vs. supplement for imported …

Leveraging the Tour Operators

As a complement to the on-the-ground activities mentioned above, in the long-term, one could initiate a discussion with sustainability-oriented Tour Operators, as to whether their offering and pricing, especially relative to the board component, could be differentiated according to whether guests wish to consume imported items or mainly local produce.

Resorts could set up “local speciality” zones or restaurants for guests wishing to avail themselves of local offerings. A key challenge would be in implementing differentiated offerings, thereby having segregated customer groups (as observed in Cuba). The alternative, and most ecological measure, would be to offer 100% local procurement, for which current pricing would appear exaggerated.

As such sustainability initiatives which are Tour-operator focused are highly important for Mauritius as the majority of in-bound tourism occurs via tour operators. Hence, discussing the MauriGAP initiative with the likes of Travelife, could generate leverage in such a way that Travelife-certified TO would seek to list hotels which procure primarily MAuriGAP-certified FFV.
Green certification

The challenge remains for many hotels in Mauritius of implementing sustainability practices and becoming certified. Indeed, whereas there is a plethora of Green Certification schemes for the hospitality sector:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certification Scheme</th>
<th>Logo</th>
<th>Website</th>
<th>Certifying Body</th>
<th>Logo</th>
<th>Website</th>
<th>Certifying Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certification for Sustainable Tourism (CST) in Costa Rica</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="CST Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.costatourismcouncil.co.cr">http://www.costatourismcouncil.co.cr</a></td>
<td>Costa Rica Tourist Board</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="GTB Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.green-tourism.com">http://www.green-tourism.com</a></td>
<td>Green Business (UK) Ltd through the International Centre for Responsible Tourism (ICRT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EarthCheck</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="EarthCheck Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.eartcheck.com">http://www.eartcheck.com</a></td>
<td>ECO Global</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="TSS Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.travlife.co.org">http://www.travlife.co.org</a></td>
<td>Travlife Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecotourism Kenya</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Ecotourism Kenya Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.ecotourismkenya.org">http://www.ecotourismkenya.org</a></td>
<td>Ecotourism Society of Kenya</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Rainforest Alliance Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.rainforalliance.org/certification/verification">http://www.rainforalliance.org/certification/verification</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Ecolabel or EU Flower</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="European Ecolabel Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.europeancouncil.eu">http://www.europeancouncil.eu</a></td>
<td>European Committee for Environment</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Nordic Swan Ecolabel Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.nordicswanecolabel.org">http://www.nordicswanecolabel.org</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Trade Tourism</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Fair Trade Tourism Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.fairtrade.org">http://www.fairtrade.org</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Ecotourism Australia Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.ecotrustaustralia.org.au">http://www.ecotrustaustralia.org.au</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Globe</td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Green Globe Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.greenhotel.com">http://www.greenhotel.com</a></td>
<td>Green Globe</td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Green Tourism Active Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.gta-active.org">www.gta-active.org</a></td>
<td>Green Tourism Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Key</td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Green Key Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.greenkeyglobal.com">http://www.greenkeyglobal.com</a></td>
<td>Conference for Environmental Education (FE)</td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Quaumark Enviro Award Logo" /></td>
<td><a href="http://www.quaumark.com">http://www.quaumark.com</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project is funded by the European Union
many of these are too extensive and require much investment, resources and training.

With the collaboration of such organisations as The Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC) or DestiNet, one could seek to link up the procurement of MauriGAP-certified FFV with some form of certification. Indeed, such MauriGAP-based procurement is to be seen as a step in a journey towards sustainability.

Hotels committing to procure primarily MauriGAP-certified FFV could become part of a community.

Source:
excerpt; colours adjusted
Marketing community

In the case of MauriGAP, establishing (and later identifying and animating) a community around MauriGAP, highlights the importance of the programme and offers transparency to all stakeholders. It becomes self-reinforcing as the number of members and actors in the community rises.

Hence, it would be recommended to use the notion of community member (or „partner“ or „associate“), for those who, downstream from farming, are actively supporting MauriGAP. For example, those hotels which commit to sourcing majoritarily their FFV from MauriGAP-certified planters, then they could be recognised as an „établissement partenaire“ of MauriGAP (or „programme member“). This accreditation would ideally be validated by an independent organisation and ought to have a fixed but renewal duration: 2 years initially would be appropriate.

Given the initial scarcity of MauriGAP-certified supply, procuring more than 50% of the wholesaler’s or retailer’s FFV may not be feasible but a definite undertaking on their part ought to be given. This ought to have the additional benefit of incentivising further planters to become MauriGAP-certified. All the more so, if in parallel to the hospitality sector, the retailing sector also commits to MauriGAP and becomes part of the MauriGAP community. Ultimately, in say 3-5 years, hotels and retailers which are not „établissement partenaire“, i.e. not members of the community, ought in fact to be the exception.

A useful additional feature of the community would be the provision of a contractual framework to manage the relationship between planter and off-taker: this offers not only transparency and contractual security but also efficiency for both sides, i.e. avoiding the negotiation of terms of trade. Indeed, this is a step towards professionalisation of the farming community which MauriGAP is seeking to encourage.

The community could be animated through events, presentations and the like. There could be an annual „Foire des planteurs MauriGAP“, during which not only planters could exhibit their wares, service providers (e.g. agricultural machinery) their innovations but also reach out to procurement and managers from the retail and hospitality sectors. Moreover, their could be an educational/employment dimension to this, as the event could promote farming as a career („MauriGAP planters adhere to social standards“, „MauriGAP planters achieve higher revenues“).

One could also imagine different statuses within the community: a) gold/premium (proven majority procurement of MauriGAP FFV since more than X years) b) silver/advanced (procuring MauriGAP between 2 and 4 years) c) bronze/starter (initial commitment to MauriGAP procurement, on-going for <2 years).
Case studies

Some examples from the UK's Considerate Hoteliers Association:

Case Study: Battlesteads Hotel and Restaurant

Battlesteads Hotel and Restaurant in Northumberland, won the CHA's prestigious overall UK 'Hotel of the Year Award 2010/11', celebrating the best in environmental, sustainable and socially responsible performance. Their green practices are intrinsically woven into every aspect of their business. This ranges from the carbon neutral heating and hot water system serving the entire hotel, to the extensive gardens with two poly-tunnels providing fresh fruit and vegetables throughout the year for the kitchen.

Communication of their green activities to guests is a priority objective at Battlesteads. These include promoting their eco successes through public relations activity and a bi-monthly newsletter entitled 'Battlesteads Bugle'; as well as prominently displaying the hotel’s ‘Responsible Visitor Charter’ - with a copy left on each bed in the guest rooms. The hotel's environmental policy is exhibited in the bar area and guest folders, as well as on the establishment’s website.

Guests and staff are even invited to be environmentally interactive by being encouraged to help monitor Battlesteads’ flora and fauna, via wildlife boards for recording sightings that are then uploaded monthly onto the website. To help with this task, the hotel provides wildlife/bird books and binoculars for guest use in the gardens, or from the conservatory. A method of communicating the green aspect of the business that particularly impressed the 2010/11 CHA awards judges was the informative and fun way that Richard and Dee Slade offer their customers daily tours of key green facilities - such as the Battlesteads' biomass boiler, gardens and poly-tunnels. This would be the equivalent in Mauritius of "visit your producer".

Marketing can help significantly in obtaining customer buy-in to green policies. The winning entry for the CHA's 'Best Green Marketing Initiative Award' for 2010/11, submitted by the luxury 15-bedroom Combe House at Gittisham in Devon. A Grade 1 Elizabethan manor house set in a 3,500 acre country estate, Combe House won the award for its newly restored Victorian kitchen gardens, which currently supply around 70% of the hotel's fresh fruit and vegetables in season - guaranteeing food metres, not miles! The benefits have been enormous. Combe House’s two Master Chefs have developed - for instance - more interesting and authentic menus with the enhanced food provenance; and the restoration has enabled the re-introduction of the Putt apple and cider-making, which has generated considerable PR and media opportunities. The hotel also reached the semi-finals of Country Life magazine's 2010 'Restoration of the Century National Award', generating further media interest. They published a booklet entitled the 'History of Combe House and Gittisham 1066-2010'. The publication includes not only the past history, but also 12 years of sustainable hospitality at Combe House from 1998 to 2010. The booklet has proved to be the best marketing tool the hotel has ever produced and is used by guests, journalists, influencers and staff alike, generating considerable media coverage and helping differentiate the hotel from its competitors.
**Case Study: Strattons Hotel**

A further example from CHA award winner, Strattons Hotel in Norfolk:

“The hotel collects money for local projects through its green policy. Recipes, requested by restaurant guests, are given with the proviso that a nominal donation of £1 is collected to support a rural business in the Norfolk co-operative. Strattons makes an annual subscription to The Brecks Tourism Project to support a regional bio-diversity project. Every year, Strattons has supported local initiatives in the regional Tourism leaflet, as it makes a valuable contribution to the hotel’s visitor experience.

We encourage our guests to buy local foods through menu information - the whole of our business is used to showcase local businesses that contribute to the vibe of the hotel. The 2-metre tall stag by the reception is made of recycled iron by a local sculptress restates our environmental message and represents the wildlife specific to this area of the Brecks countryside.

---

**Case Study: “Ruta Gastronomica”**

In Latin America, hotels organise a “Ruta Gastronomica” in which hotel guests are taken for a culinary tour, which promotes locally grown produce and local cooking. It can be viewed as a complement or an alternative to a “visit your producer” excursion. Interestingly, the hotel could thereafter engage with its hotel guests, enquiring as to whether they would like similar cuisine within the hotel.
Case Study: Hotel Les Orangeries, France

Sustainability Labelling in the restaurant of the menu items
Le restaurant se décompose en 2 niveaux d’étiquetage :
1- au global du restaurant (en cours selon les mêmes critères que l’hôtel)
2- et par plat.
L’étiquette suivante indique les émissions de CO₂ représentées par le nombre de km parcourus en voiture, le pourcentage d’ingrédients issus de l’Agriculture Biologique et l’origine géographique des produits pour une gamme de plats issus de notre carte.

Nous avons volontairement voulu montrer la comparaison d’un plat courant ordinaire, tel que le steak frites, avec nos types de plats qui suivent une démarche Biolocavore. En effet nos fournisseurs sont pour la plupart locaux (moins de 80km) et nous préférons les ingrédients issus de l’agriculture biologique, et cela d’autant plus que nos cuisiniers se servent directement dans notre potager bio certifié Ecocert pour les légumes d’été et d’automne. De plus nous ne servons plus du tout de veau et que très rarement du bœuf au restaurant étant donné l’empreinte écologique de ces types de viande en terme d’émission de CO₂.

De cette manière, en mettant en place cette réglette d’équivalence carbone et de km parcourus en voiture, les graduations étant proportionnelles, nous avons constaté de façon claire la démarche vertueuse de nos cuisines. En effet par rapport à un steak/frite traditionnel, l’un de nos plats poissons (Merlu) représente 13 fois moins d’émission de CO₂ comparativement (220g éq CO₂ contre 2900g pour le steak/frite). Cette assiette représente en moyenne 0.95km parcouru en voiture, possède 91% d’ingrédient issus de l’agriculture biologique et 46% de ses ingrédients ont une provenance locale. Encore mieux ! L’une de nos entrées, « Le petit jardin » représente 80 fois moins d’émission de CO₂ comparativement (50g éq CO₂ contre 2900g). Et cette assiette se traduit par une distance moyenne de 0.18km parcourus en voiture contre 12.3km pour le steak frite!

Source Figure 16:

calculations produced by “Etiquettable”
Ronald Sanabria, Sustainable Tourism Vice President of the Rainforest Alliance says hotels need to educate guests on the benefits of sustainable products.

Ask a sommelier about the origins of a wine, and they’ll tell you about the individual grape varieties and soil conditions of the vineyards of origin. But in many hotels, cafés and restaurants could the same be said for the coffee and tea being served? How about the fish, meat and vegetables on the menu, beyond that it came from a local wholesaler or market?

Media horror stories linked to our food have helped create an ever-increasing demand from the public – your customers – to know where and how our food is sourced. Dialogue between customers, hoteliers and farmers has never been more important.

Combine this with the fact that the Earth’s resources are rapidly depleting, and issues of the carbon footprint of food are coming to the fore, hoteliers too have their role to play in helping consumers to make informed choices.

By offering a sustainable option on the beverage menu or complementary in-room coffee, tea or hot chocolate - such as those sourced from Rainforest Alliance Certified™ farms – hoteliers are not only addressing their own social responsibilities; learning about how, where and why sustainable farming and a sustainable supply chain is important, but also taking this responsibility through to their customers and the choices they are able to make.

We know more and more hotel guests are looking for the sustainable option – be it recycling projects, reduced water consumption or sustainable value chains - and choosing hotels that will satisfy their demands. In terms of sustainable sourcing of food and beverages, it is becoming business critical to be able to tell customers about the difference their choice has just made to the environment and to the farmers who grow the products. That takes the hotel experience to an entirely different level. Not only do guests feel satisfied with their meal or beverage, they also feel good about themselves, and will patronise again.

By using more fresh water and destroying more forests than any other activity, agriculture is top of the list when it comes to harming the planet and contributing to global environmental problems.

This is where the Rainforest Alliance Certified™ scheme can make a drastic difference; big enough to change industries, allowing businesses to prosper at the same time as the environment is protected and the rights of workers and their families are ensured. When consumers see the little green frog seal that represents the Rainforest Alliance
certification programme, they can be assured that the coffee, tea or cocoa they are drinking has been grown on a farm where forests are protected, rivers, soils and wildlife are conserved; and workers are treated with respect, paid decent wages, properly equipped and have access to schools and health centres.

The Rainforest Alliance also works with tourism businesses, offering training to hotels and lodges to provide them with the tools and techniques they need to run efficiently and sustainably. Businesses that have completed the Rainforest Alliance programme earn the right to use the Rainforest Alliance Verified mark. Guests staying in a hotel that displays the Rainforest Alliance VerifiedTM seal can be assured that their trip is having a positive impact on the environment and the local community.

Ultimately it is about education - right across the supply chain - from farmer to consumer. Each component has its part to play in ensuring that we create a more sustainable global economy. From educating the farmer to smarter farming methods, to engaging with businesses to examine their green credentials, to influencing consumers to make the right choices. Sustainability is becoming more mainstream, but if the planet is to survive, we need to be working a lot harder and a lot faster. If hoteliers can engage their guests by providing a sustainable option and explaining why, then demand will continue to grow, and in turn the environment and the farmers and their families whose livelihoods depend on it, will benefit. The will to make this needed change is out there. Farmers want to ensure that their children have a future livelihood and clean water, and consumers are thinking more about how the food they consume affects the planet’s health. Businesses play an important role in bringing the two together.

Sustainability is a journey, and making sustainability a part of everyday business is a mammoth task. But, we should be safe in the knowledge that we can change entire industries.
Case Study: Sandals Resort Group Farmers Programme, Jamaica

Sandals’ Farmer Programme in Jamaica was initiated and supported by the Sandals Group (large all inclusive resort chain with 6000 employees) in the Caribbean in 1996.

The programme was created with the aim of: (a) channelling and creating demand for local products among its staff and customers; (b) supporting the local farmers to deliver the quality and quantity required; (c) developing proper pricing arrangements; (d) and supporting the establishment of workable communication structures between farmers and hotels.

In 1996, the Sandals Group developed agricultural supply linkages, beginning with support from Rural Agricultural Development Authority (RADA) to improve the quality and diversity of produce local farmers’ supply to its hotels. The project included an officer who worked with farmers to improve production; collaboration with key organisations and hotel management teams visiting farmers to improve quality and marketing procedures. Farmers visit the hotels to see how their products are being used and the focus is on improving pricing and contractual arrangements. Some of the problems included production issues (e.g. lack of water supply, lack of packaging materials) and sale of the produce (e.g. inconsistent supply orders and lack of communication regarding demand).

The project began with 10 farmers supplying 2 hotels and by 2004 it involved 80 farmers across the island. Within three years sales rose from US$60,000 to $3.3 million. Farmers’ income increased and hotels gained a wider variety of good-quality local produce and made savings.

At the start of each crop, the farmers are guaranteed a price which holds until the crop is completely reaped and sold to the resorts. In the event of over production, Sandals ensures that other resorts sign on to purchase produce from these farmers.

Through the programme farmers were also assisted in getting the farmers to sign up as Co-operatives where they can access loans and other benefits from the Government and financial institutions.

Source: Food and Drink Tourism: Principles and Practice
Case Study: Gambia is Good, Gambia

Gambia is Good (GiG), is a dynamic and progressive social enterprise striving to improve local farmer livelihoods since 2004. GiG works to connect poor rural farmers to the country’s lucrative tourist market, increasing earnings, reducing the need for imported produce, encouraging an entrepreneurial spirit among producers and diversifying the agricultural crop base of Gambia. GiG is supplies Fresh Fruits and vegetables to hotels and resorts in Gambia. Training, supporting and purchasing from approximately 1000 farmers, GiG is providing tangible economic and social benefits to local communities in the Western and North Bank Regions. It is not only benefiting local growers but is providing the best quality and freshest produce directly to the doorsteps of hotels and restaurants and in turn, raising the standard of quality and variety of local fruits and vegetables.

The inspiring vision of GiG grew out of a unique collaboration between Concern Universal (an international NGO), Haygrove (a leading UK organic fruit producer), and has for the past 3 years been supported (funded) by The Travel Foundation (an NGO focused on sustainable tourism). As a social enterprise, one of the goals of GiG is financial sustainability, with the plan of investing any surplus into additional farmer trainings. This innovative development model has resulted in huge success for the project as well as international recognition.

GiG now purchases from nearly 1,000 growers, 90% of which are women. Of its core suppliers a transition has been made from subsistence agriculture to commercial enterprise. Women in the most rural communities, where they had previously virtually no cash income, are now making up to £150 per month. Recent independent states that over the last three years, GiG growers have increased their income by an average of 500%.

Source: Gambia Flights, 2016
Understanding the horticultural supply chains in Mauritius

Mauritius is a net food importer and imports all its staple food namely wheat and rice. It is however self-sufficient in its total vegetables production representing an average annual production of about 120,000 tonnes over an area of about 8,100 hectares on holdings averaging 0.25 ha. Fruit production which consists of mainly banana (24%), pineapple (31%), and seasonal fruits such as litchi (16%) and mangoes (6%) is estimated at 42,660 tonnes annually, over an equivalent of 3,065 ha. The FFV sector though not a major contributor to national production and wealth, still contribute to the economy in term of food security, sustainable development and the mitigation of climate change impacts.

Agricultural production activities are undertaken mainly by a large number of small producers (some 9,000) and also by a few companies in the corporate sector involving mainly sugar estates. Fruits are produced mainly in backyards. There is some corporate sector involvement in fruit production (500 ha) namely of pitaya, passion fruit, papaya, litchi, jujube and citrus for the fresh market or for processing.

Production of fruits and vegetables is production led and thereby economically sub-optimal to producers. Market-led farming would allow farmers to be closer to demand and revenue opportunities. Vertical integration by small growers into value added activities is limited and undertaken by agro smes. The sector is highly vulnerable to climate extremes which affects small farmers’ productivity and farm income. Post-harvest management is limited to grading and sorting and washing at field level.

Supply chains are weak in terms of regular supply of planting material, storage infrastructure and agri-service support; absence of product norms and standards. Small farmers though not having a high academic background are experienced while the private sector has shown interest in the sector further to reform of the EU sugar regime. The latter has the resources to further develop the sector both quantitatively and qualitatively.

The FFV sector has opportunities for development given the availability of abandoned sugarcane lands, emergence of agro investors, growing awareness for environment-friendly agriculture, and demand for healthy diets, nutritious food as well as convenience products in line with new lifestyle of the population and a growing tourism industry.

Small grower farms are characterized by relatively low farm productivity; an over-reliance on agro-chemicals; low level of investment/farm mechanisation, and unwillingness to take risks. Factors affecting the sector’s development are high cost of labour, shortage of skilled and unskilled labour. The sector is considered unattractive to the youth and has an ageing farming community.

Production practices are not transparent with absence of norms and standards and there is at present no traceability of produce from farm to fork. Enforcement is limited with respect to use of agro chemicals.
The market structure is weak and is production led with all economic actors having the same behaviour and stock and sell simultaneously. This result in seasonal gluts and unstable / low prices. Almost all operators of the production and marketing chain consider present marketing conditions at the national level of fruits and vegetables as unsatisfactory especially in Port Louis. The Ministry intends to strengthen the marketing sector with a new national wholesale market which will bring market efficiency, synergies and cost sharing between operators, better transparency, modern and adequate premises to the system. Over the years the market structure is evolving with the development of the country and emergence of supermarkets and dedicated outlets retailing FFV. The latter is gaining importance over established regional markets/fairs. Recently the emergence of short chain has also been noted where NGOs (Velo vert, Earth market) have entered in niche markets viz. ’bio’ food circumventing intermediaries and selling directly to consumers.

The Ministry of Agro Industry and Food Security along with the Food and Agricultural Research and Extension Institute is currently implementing a local Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) viz. MauriGAP basic level (MS184:2015) under the green Agricultural certification programme. The Standard establishes requirements for sustainable agriculture specifically for crop production, focusing on Good Agricultural Practices for food safety, environmental stewardship and farmer/worker welfare. It applies to open and protected field cultivation as well as hydroponics production.

Taking into account the demand for quality and safe food, implications of climate change, depleting resources and the need for sustainability, the Ministry’s strategic plan 2016-2020 emphasize a fresh and innovative approach towards growth in agricultural production and food security and the economic empowerment of the farming community. It highlights the following strategic interventions for the sector’s development:

1. Shift towards bio-farming for safe and quality food, with standards and norms; preferably in Clusters /Special Agricultural Production Areas;
2. Provision of incentives for the adoption of sustainable production practices; clustering; exploitation of abandoned land; agri-business ventures in processing food for local and export market;
3. R&D in new technologies to increase land productivity and sustainable production and ensure food and nutrition security; biotechnology and biological inputs in production systems;
4. Technology exchange, capacity building and effective information and communication management; Strengthening of marketing and market information services to the farming community;
5. Empowering the agricultural community economically and technically especially the younger, skilled generation by providing opportunities and appropriate support to enable them to emerge as agricultural entrepreneurs;
6. Promoting commodity value chain and agribusiness development
The importance of good agricultural practices (GAP) in the horticultural supply chain

The GAP concept

The concept of GAP evolved recently as a result of the big concern about food safety and quality, and the environmental sustainability of agriculture. GAP offers benefits to farmers and consumers to meet specific objectives of food security, food quality, production efficiency, livelihood and environmental protection. In a broad sense GAP applies available knowledge in addressing environmental, economic and social sustainability for on-farm production and post-production processing, resulting in safe and healthy food and non-food agricultural products.
The GAP has been developed by the FAO and adapted in different formats by FFV retail chains in developed countries. The best known international one is the GlobalGAP standard which covers export of horticultural produce to Europe. It aims to improve environmental, economic and social sustainability of farm production and results in safe and quality food. A GAP certification implies produce is not contaminated (physical, microbial and chemical) and addresses consumer/retail industry demand for safe food and that it has been produce using natural resources in a sustainable manner.

The GAP involves all stakeholders from the supply side (farmers, farmers’ organizations, workers), the demand side (retailers, processors and consumers) and those support institutions and services working towards food security and quality, sustainable production and environmental conservation. Though GAP approach responded to the growing demands globalized market, it is also very important for national markets. It enables producers to meet increasing demand for quality food (includes information), produced safely and sustainably through formalized codes of practices and farm records. GAP farm certification is of high importance to a country’s whose economy is based on tourism and where visitors are accustomed to high food standards.

Produce quality and safety
Product quality is defined as the totality of characteristics of a product that bears on its ability to satisfy stated or implied needs. In other words, good quality exists when the product complies with the requirements specified by the client. This means quality is a term defined by the consumer, buyer, grader or any other. Using these definitions, safety is a component of quality but safety is the most important component of quality, because a lack of safety can result in serious injury and even death for the consumer of the product.

What are the quality attributes of fresh produce?

One way is to observe its characteristics as the product is encountered and consumed. Using this system, quality attributes are often classified as external, internal or hidden.

The “hidden attributes” are more difficult for most consumers to measure or differentiate, but the perception of these contributes to the consumer’s decision to accept or reject and to differentiate food products. Hidden quality attributes include wholesomeness, nutritional value and safety of a product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality attributes</th>
<th>External</th>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>Hidden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance (size, colour, gloss)</td>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>Wholesomeness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel</td>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>Nutritive value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defects</td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Safety differs from many other quality attributes because it is a quality attribute that is difficult to observe. A product can appear to be of high quality, i.e. well coloured, appetizing, flavourful, and still be unsafe because it is contaminated with undetected pathogenic organisms, toxic chemicals or physical hazards. On the other hand, a product that seems to lack many of the visible quality attributes can be safe.

**How can GAP promote food safety?**

There are many activities that take place as food products move from the farm to the table. These include activities related to production, harvesting, post-harvest operations, packaging, transportation, and storage. Implementing programs such as the use of GAP are important steps in reducing possible hazards associated with the produce throughout the production and distribution chain. The food chain approach to food safety and quality recognizes that the responsibility for the supply of food that is safe, healthy and nutritious is shared along the entire food chain – by all the operators involved in production, processing, trade and consumption of food. Food safety is an obligation of all food sector operators (producers, processors, exporters, importers, etc.) to place on the market wholesome products that:

- comply with current requirements;
- do not have a harmful effect on consumer health;
- if defective, can be withdrawn from the market.

**Consumers need to be assured through traceability regarding products they eat**

In the horticultural supply chain, consumers and other clients require:

- information on production of the product: where, when, how, with what components;
- respect for the technical specifications: adeptness, control, audits;
- crisis management: competence to find and withdraw defective or dangerous products from sales points;
- assurance that the company produces in a socially responsible way.

Traceability is the ability to trace the history, application and location of any entity by means of recorded identification.
THE HORTICULTURAL SUPPLY CHAIN

in Mauritius and
the MauriGAP standard

The MauriGAP standards

Background
MauriGAP is an acronym for Mauritius Good Agricultural Practices and is a local farm production standard for fresh fruits and vegetables. It has been developed in a context of increasing demand for quality food, produced safely and sustainably. To meet this challenge, there is a need for farmers to adopt Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and formalize farm production standards. The concept of Good Agricultural Practices is the application of available knowledge to the use of the natural resource base in a sustainable way for the production of safe, healthy food and non-food agricultural products, in a humane manner, while achieving economic viability and social stability. The underlying theme is one of knowing, understanding, planning, measuring, recording, and managing to achieve identified social, environmental and production goals.

Scope of the MauriGAP standard
The MAURIGAP standard has been developed with the Mauritius Standards Bureau with the participation of stakeholders and is offered as a stepping stone to GLOBAL GAP international recognised standards. It establishes requirements for sustainable crop production, focusing on Good Agricultural Practices for food safety, environmental stewardship and farmer/worker welfare. It will be offered at three levels namely basic, intermediate (under development) and advanced level with the latter to be pitched to international norms. It applies to open and protected field cultivation, as well as to hydroponics. It may be used for verification such as inspection and certification purposes of the production process. It is a voluntary standard. The certification body is the Mauritius Agricultural Standards Certification Body of the Ministry of Agro Industry and Food Security (MAIFS). Technical assistance and training in MauriGAP implementation is offered free by the Food and Agricultural Research and Extension Institute (FAREI).

MauriGAP (basic level)
The standard has been adapted from international standards of Good Agricultural Practices and takes into accounts local realities and provides a minimum achievable level of assurance and reliability acceptable to the local market. It provides transparency and accountability of production practices, cost effective solutions, will promote market access and help producers gain gradual recognition. It will assist FFV retailers to trace producers, monitor their practices and develop a network of reliable producer/suppliers. The standard is a prerequisite to benefit the MAIFS’s Bio Farming Promotion Scheme. The standard requirements covers:

- Soil and substrate management
- Environmentally sound practices for natural resources:
  - Rational and efficient use of farm resources (water)/ inputs
- Crop protection
- Harvest best practices /hygiene
- Workers’ health, welfare and safety
- Agricultural waste recycling
- Records/traceability
The following slides gives the main elements of the MauriGAP standards:

**Background**

- The Government is implementing a Green Agricultural Certification Scheme -MAURIGAP- to increase the supply of safe and high quality locally produced fresh food and vegetables (FFV) while promoting more sustainable crop production.
- The SAG project will complement the Green Agricultural Certification Scheme by extending the focus on the marketing pull, thus contributing to scaling up MAURIGAP.

**MauriGAP standards**

- Certification: Mauritius Agricultural Standards Certification Body (MAIFS)
- Validity: 2 years
- Microbial and chemical residue tests by institutions for level 1
- Test will be on a random basis

**MauriGAP Requirements - Soil and Substrate**

- Don’t use contaminated or industrial dumping soil
- Prevent Soil Erosion
- Water and Soil Conservation
- Physical barrier field and walk way
- Appropriate Soil Fertilisers

**MauriGAP standards (MS 184:2015- Basic level)**

- Acronym for Mauritius Good Agricultural Practices
- A framework for Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) on horticultural local farms
- Adapted from international standards of Good Agricultural Practices (GlobalGAP).
- Offered at 3 levels (basic, intermediate and advance)
- Accounts for local realities
- Provides a minimum achievable level of assurance and reliability acceptable to the local market

**MauriGAP standards**

SCOPE- Applies to open field FFV and covers:
- Efficient use of resources (soil, water, farm inputs)
- Adoption of environmentally sound practices
- Crop protection best practices for safer products and Biodiversity preservation
- Pre- and postharvest best practices
- Workers’ health and safety
- Transparency and accountability (farm records)

49 control points at the farm

Implies risk analysis to prevent product contamination and accidents

**MauriGAP- Fertilisers (Rational Use)**

Picture Source:
URL: http://www.fao.org/3/a-a1193e.pdf
MauriGAP- Irrigation (Water efficiency)

How much water and irrigation be used?
The use of irrigation - drip, sprinkler and micro irrigation increase the amount of production.

Remember: Treated/Untreated water must never be used for irrigation.

MauriGAP- Harvesting (Reduce PH losses and contamination risks)

- Observe Pre harvest safety interval
- Harvest at optimum maturity - early in the morning or late in the evening
- Use clean tools, handled carefully and placed in clean containers
- Field shelters for shade of harvest pending collection
- Precautions shall be taken to prevent contamination from physical and microbial hazards

MauriGAP- Crop Protection (minimising impact)

- Use of pesticides
- Spraying equipment safety
- Emergency clean
- Pesticide storage, handling and transport
- Re-entry safety interval

MauriGAP- Waste and Pollution management and re-cycling

- Agriculture waste shall not be dumped or allowed to accumulate in the packing area, field
- Such waste shall preferably be composted or recycled as appropriate

MauriGAP- Worker’s welfare & safety

- Worker health and hygiene program
- First aid
- Health awareness

MauriGAP- Records (Transparency and traceability)

- Advantages of the registry
  - Reduce the problems postharvest diseases and spoilage
  - Improve the quality of the product
- Registration done on the pack
- Regular monitoring done every day since the week is very critical (harvest, application of agrochemicals, etc.)

Picture Source:
URL: http://www.fao.org/3/a-a1193e.pdf
### MauriGAP Records
Following records shall be maintained by the farmer:

- Field/Plot history
- Nutrient application
- Planting
- Irrigation
- Equipment maintenance and calibration
- Pesticide use
- Produce harvest
- Worker training
- Traceability
- Analysis
- Inspections/verifications and related recommendations/complaints/corrective actions

### MauriGAP Standards Check Points

#### 4.1 Farm and Soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check Point</th>
<th>MauriGAP standard</th>
<th>Major/Minor</th>
<th>Standard compliance</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source of seed/Planting Material:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own planting material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds from previous crops approved QDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAREI recommended varieties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased from approved suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imported, approved by NPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAREI recommended varieties</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it applied at a distance closer than 6 m to land bordering watercourses, rives, steam and lakes?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are they applied during heavy rains?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are recommended pesticides being used as per national legal requirement?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are treatments of pesticides used if yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used? If yes then, is it recommended by FAREI?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does farmer plan spray volumes in order to minimize any surplus of pesticide?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside major roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a physical barrier maintained by farmer (for fields beside minor roads)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mixtures of pesticides used?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Integration of Farmers along the Value Chain


Many small-scale farmers in Mauritius are unable to satisfy market requirements for horticultural produce for supermarkets or packing house. This is owing to the small size of their operations, poor organization, use of low technologies, dependence on unskilled labour, lack of capital and poor support services. For many commercial entities (such as packing houses and supermarkets), the prospect of working with a multitude of individual small farmers raises concerns about communication, management, quality, reliability of supply and transaction costs.

Farmers are constrained by a multitude of factors that prevent them to supply fruits and vegetables to stakeholders higher up along the value chain. These factors include:

- Lack of financial capacity to attain economies of scale and address the exigencies of the hotel industry and hypermarkets.
- Lack of logistics for adequate storage and implementation capacity of quality standards.
- Lack of market intelligence for optimized marketing of agricultural produce.
- Traceability issues and inability for timely supply of fruits and vegetables in the desired amount and quality.
- Limited capacity in supply both quantitatively and variety wise.
- Limited working capital (.credit squeeze from retailers.)
The following above issues have acted as major bottlenecks for farmers to increase their marketability towards increasing their return on investment to established marketing outlets. With a view to integrate the farming community along the value chain, the following measures are being proposed:

- Regrouping of farmers into clusters for economies for scale and development of financial capacity to meet the exigencies of the market structure.
- Sensitization towards the need for traceability which is an important component of quality standards.
- Training and capacity building on business plan preparation and knowledge of market requirements.
- The development of a whole sale market which will give equal chance to farmers for optimised marketing of their produce.
- The adoption of fair pricing policy by retailers to encourage the farmers to adopt market norms and for the provision of a sustainable revenue to small producers (policy of Corporate Social Responsibility).
- Long term contracts to farmers to efficient and effective long term production planning.
- Improving their competitiveness (both yield and produce quality wise)
- Providing an enabling environment to strengthen linkage between planter-suppliers and market actors (new models of partnership), better value chain infrastructure/logistics
- Promoting sustainable production practices

**Horizontal coordination through the formation of farmer groups (association or cooperative)**

Horizontal coordination of farmers entails the formation of a group, association or other collaborative structure through which information, inputs, technical and quality assistance, and various other needs may be accessed. As an organized group, small-scale farmers can work together to function such as larger businesses and thus offer many of the advantages of a larger operation. These advantages include:

- improved access to credit, training and business services;
- improved access to technology and equipment through shared resources;
- increased efficiencies and economies of scale through collaborative production and marketing, reduced transaction costs, and bulk purchases of raw materials and contracted services;
- collective knowledge of markets, production standards and customer requirements;
- pooled creativity for developing innovative products and services;
- reduced costs/risks for the development of new products and services;
- improved ability to avoid oversupply and a resulting decline in prices;
- collective efforts to overcome shared obstacles; an improved market position, with increased production capacity, a broader range of produce offerings and a more diversified skill set.

Horizontal linkages are not limited to farmer groups. They can be formed, and are beneficial, at all levels of the supply chain. Small packing house operations in a given setting could, for example, form such a group. Horizontal linkages may emerge because of collaboration among concerned parties (e.g. participating farmers) or may be fostered by an external party (e.g. an NGO).
**Vertical coordination through contract farming**

One vertical coordination option that is suited to the integration of small-scale farmers into horticultural supply chains is contract farming. Well-managed contract farming is an effective way to coordinate and promote production and marketing in agriculture. Nevertheless, it is essentially an agreement between unequal parties: companies, individual entrepreneurs on the one hand and economically weaker farmers on the other. It is, however, an approach that can contribute to both increased income for farmers and higher profitability for sponsors. When efficiently organized and managed, contract farming reduces risk and uncertainty for both parties as compared to buying and selling crops on the open market.

The prime advantage of a contractual agreement for farmers is that the sponsor will normally undertake to purchase all produce grown, within specified quality and quantity parameters. Contracts can also provide farmers with access to a wide range of managerial, technical and extension services that otherwise may be unobtainable. Farmers can use the contract agreement as collateral to arrange credit with a commercial bank in order to fund inputs. Thus, the main potential advantages for farmers are:

- provision of inputs and production services;
- access to credit;
- introduction of appropriate technology;
- skill transfer;
- guaranteed and fixed pricing structures; and
- access to reliable markets.

A well-organized contract farming scheme can therefore provide the right incentives and forward and backward linkages required for small farmers in developing countries to participate in modern horticultural supply chains successfully.

**Models of contract farming**

Some of the most widely used contract farming models includes:

- **Centralized model or “outgrower scheme”:** This model involves a centralized packing house exporter buying from a large number of small farmers.
- **Nucleus estate model:** This model is similar to that of the centralized model, except for the fact that the company also manages a central estate or plantation.
- **Multiparty model:** This model involves statutory bodies and private companies jointly participating with farmers.
- **Informal model:** This model applies to individual entrepreneurs or small companies, which normally make simple, informal production contracts with farmers on a seasonal basis.

**Combining vertical and horizontal coordination: contract farming involving farmer groups**

Vertical coordination through contract farming and horizontal coordination through the formation of farmer groups often work best together, with farmer groups contracting with companies that supply them with a range of services, within a suitable framework such as an out-grower model. Contract farming involving farmer groups increases access to new market opportunities. When dealing with a purchasing company, the negotiating strength of a farmer group is greater than that of its constituent individual members.
Companies prefer working with farmer groups because group liability for credit reduces lending risks, while economies of scale reduce transaction costs. Our Switch Africa Green project expects to promote and pilot such a scheme.

**Generalized model for contract farming involving farmer groups**

In order for a company to contract small-scale fruit and vegetable growers in a particular setting, extension agencies, NGOs, development agencies or the company itself should assist growers in forming a group if one does not exist or assist in improving the cohesiveness of existing groups (e.g. by training growers on group forming skills, formally registering the group and providing literacy and numeracy training). Small-scale growers are better placed to deal with exporters, supermarkets and other larger companies when they coordinate among themselves within such a group. A group can better comply with contractual requirements of the company than its individual members, and serves as a convenient organizational unit around which the company can coordinate procurement of produce and provide inputs, credit and technical assistance to the growers.

**FOOD SAFETY REGULATORY SYSTEM IN MAURITIUS**

**Introduction**

The present review pertains to food safety of fresh fruits and vegetables marketed by retailers. Processed fresh produce, including fresh-cut/ minimally-processed produce are hereby excluded. The review is presented with an extract from the food regulations and/or the relevant sections of the regulations and a brief expose on what is required and/ or prohibited by law. Food safety of fresh fruits and vegetables is mainly regulated by the Food Act 1998/ Food Regulations 1999 in Mauritius. The Dangerous Drug and Chemical Act and Occupational Health and Safety Act are other regulations that relate to food safety of fresh produce. It is worthy to mention that a revised Food Regulations is under review for promulgation by the local Government.

**Law enforcement**

The Health Inspectorate, under the aegis of the Ministry of Health and Quality of Life is mandated for enforcement of the laws that govern the Food Act 1998.

Sanitary officers also undertake regular checks to ensure conformity with food safety provisions and the guidelines under the Food Act. Local Authorities also have an important role to play as regard to the issue of licenses and permits for operation of food retail businesses. Food retailers operating in market fairs fall under the jurisdiction of Local Authorities and Health Inspectors are empowered to ensure food safety. The Ministry of Health and Quality of Life, Local Authorities and Municipalities work in conjunction to ensure food safety to consumers. Local Authorities are empowered to ensure a prohibition order and cancellation of permits and licenses to defaulters upon notice by the officers from the Ministry of Health and Quality of Life.
**Personal Hygiene and Sanitation**

As part of the procurements under the Food Act 1998, retailers in the food industry are required to have acquired the food handler’s certificate (section 47 of the Food Regulations 1998). This certificate ensures that the person dealing with foodstuffs is healthy and fit for the handling of foodstuffs. The food handler’s certificate is issued by the Ministry of Health and Quality of Life, after the registered retailers have followed the courses delivered by the Health Officers of this Ministry and after they have been examined to be deemed fit by Public Medical Practitioners to handle food products.

Every person engaged in the sale, preparation, serving, packing, carriage, and handling or delivery of any food for sale for human consumption shall observe proper and adequate personal hygiene, as laid down in section 48 of the Food Act.

Sanitary requirements of the food transport vehicle and cold for the refrigerated storage of food are specified in sections 55, 56, and 60 of the Food Act. There are also provisions in the regulations to prohibit cross-contamination of the produce by undesirable contaminants. For example, a vehicle transporting materials (e.g. construction material/ farm yard manure) that can contaminate food shall not transport food.

People suffering from infectious diseases or who is suffering from diarrhoea, venereal disease, open infected wound, or any inflammatory or communicable infection of the skin are prohibited from handling food. The presence of an open infected wound shall be allowed to resume food handling only after obtaining clearance from a Government Medical Practitioner via a Medical Certificate, certifying that the person is deemed fit to handle food.

Finally, the storage temperature of highly perishable produce (e.g. fresh strawberries and mushrooms), sale of contaminated food, as well as the keeping of food in insanitary environment are all regulated by the law.

**Occupational Health and Safety**

The Occupational Health and Safety Act 2005 (OHS Act) make provisions for health and safety of workers in the work environment. Health and safety of workers in a retail environment may have an impact on food safety. Bodily injuries, which occurred at the work place and at home, may lead to contamination of food. Workers are expected (under duties of employees) to take reasonable care for the safety and health of himself and of other persons who may be affected by his acts or omissions at work and to wear or use any protective equipment or clothing provided by the employer in pursuance of the OHS Act at all times, when there is a risk of bodily injury (section 14 (1) (a) and (c) of OHS Act). In case of bodily injuries involving blood, the Food Act makes provisions for such injuries to be sufficiently protected by approved waterproof wound plasters.

Use of chemicals for sanitizing purposes, for example floor and benches/ shelves of retail store/ cold stores, food vehicles, is regulated under OHS Act. When dealing with chemicals the OSH Act specifies that employers shall keep record of Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of all chemicals used on the premises (section 17 (4) (b). All necessary precautions and health hazards shall be taken or adhered to, as specified in the MSDS of the chemical being used.
Maximum pesticide residue level
There is provision in the Food Act for the maximum allowable pesticide residue level in food (section 62 2(d) and tenth schedule). As at 1998, all pesticides listed are mentioned and their maximum allowable levels are detailed for different foods (including fresh produce). As such, produce are expected to be sampled and analysed by the Government Analyst Division or any affiliated and accredited laboratory. However due to certain reasons, this regulation is not enforced in practice.

The Dangerous Drug and Chemical Act 2004 provides the following with respect to safeguards for the public" Part VII, para 23
(1) Every person who imports, produces, manufactures or sells any commodity for human or animal consumption shall ensure that -
(a) the commodity marketed or sold by him presents no danger to the health of consumers by reason of toxic residues contained in or on such commodity through the use of pesticides or other dangerous chemicals on crops or otherwise; and
(b) the safe interval since the last application of a pesticide on a crop and the harvesting of such crop has been strictly observed.

Food Packaging materials
Part II of the Food Regulations details the requirements for food packages. Packaging materials need to be of food-grade standard. Use of poly-vinyl-chloride packages is regulated by the law. Use of harmful, non-food, and recycled packages are prohibited by sections 15-18 of the Food Act.

Environment Protection (Banning of Plastic Bags) Regulations 2015 prohibit the import, manufacture, sale, or supply of plastic bags, which are designed to carry goods (including food) purchased at points of sale such as wholesale and retail outlets, markets, fairs and hawkers.

Standards pertaining to Food Safety Management System
The above review has focused on the regulatory frameworks. However, there are Mauritius Standard Bureau (MSB) standards (which are voluntary standards) that have been developed and put in place to implement Food Safety Management System, which guarantees food safety to the consumer. While such a system pertains to high-risk foods e.g. fresh milk, there are instances where this can apply to fresh vegetables e.g. fresh edible mushrooms. The ISO/TS 22002-3:2011 specifies requirements and guidelines for the design, implementation, and documentation of prerequisite programmes (PRPs) that maintain a hygienic environment and assist in controlling food safety hazards in the food chain. This standard is applicable to the farming of crops (e.g. cereals, fruits, and vegetables), living farm animals (e.g. cattle, poultry, fish, pigs) and the handling of their products (e.g. milk, eggs).

Moreover, the MS 133 (HACCP) standard has been published to cater for those food and food related industries that wish to embark in food safety standard implementation and eventually achieve certification. HACCP stands for Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point and it is a systematic, planned approach to controlling food safety hazards. CODEX defines HACCP as: “a system which identifies evaluates & controls hazards, which are significant for food safety.”
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PROCUREMENT GUIDELINE

Introduction
Every company has social, environmental and economic impacts deriving from its business operations. Some of these impacts are directly related to company operations, such as the energy use of an office building, others are indirectly related to the company as they occur up- and downstream in the supply chain.

In fact, the way a company procures products and services from suppliers can largely influence and improve its overall sustainability performance as social, environmental and economic impacts can be reduced.

Objective and scope
This procurement guideline aims to guide procurement processes of our company of fresh fruits and vegetables (FFV) in a more sustainable direction. Although general and specific principles might also be applicable to other products the company procures, this guideline scopes primarily procurement of FFV produce.

How to use and implement this guideline
The guideline highlights a number of important principles that will allow companies to procure in a more sustainable manner. It differentiates between principles to achieve a basic sustainability level and principles to go for an advanced level. Companies might select those principles relevant and applicable in its business context or go for adopting all principles at once. Basically, there are two major options to ensure that procured products will be in line with your procurement principles. Either you rely on existing certification standards and social compliance schemes when buying products or you demand your suppliers to comply with your selection of principles.

Sustainable production or social compliance standards as well as management schemes can provide the necessary information in order to know if purchased products or their suppliers comply to the desired selection of procurement principles. In case there are no suitable standards available, the procuring company can request suppliers to only provide products that meet selected procurement principles. The procuring company might enact a respective passage in supplier contracts, design a suitable prequalification process or just ask suppliers to deliver respective information confirming compliance. In addition, procuring companies should at least keep open the option to audit against the principles at the supplier’s production facilities or on farm level.

General principles
Our company only buys products from supplier that comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

Our company aims to avoid exploitation of labour and encourage a respectful approach to the environment.

Our company will preference products that meet high requirements in terms of sustainable purchasing, where the commercial performance is otherwise equal.
## Socially responsible production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No use of forced labour</td>
<td>We only procure products from suppliers where there is no use of forced or compulsory labour as per ILO Convention 29 and 105. All work must be voluntary and workers shall be free to leave work at any time or terminate their employment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No child labour</td>
<td>Any forms of exploitation of children are forbidden in any stage of the value chain. Working conditions resembling slavery or harmful to children's health are forbidden. The term “child” refers to any person under the age of 15 (or 14 where the law of the country permits), or under the age for completing compulsory education, or under the minimum age for employment in the country, whichever is greatest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No inhumane treatment</td>
<td>There is to be no harsh and inhumane treatment including any sexual harassment, sexual abuse, corporal punishment, mental or physical coercion or verbal abuse of workers related to products we procure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Discrimination</td>
<td>Producers of products we procure should be committed to a workforce free of harassment and unlawful discrimination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair wages</td>
<td>For all products we procure compensation paid to workers shall comply with all applicable wage laws, including those relating to minimum wages, overtime hours and legally mandated benefits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe and healthy workplace</td>
<td>Occupational safety and health in agriculture, logistics and warehousing is ensured. Workers are provided with a safe and healthy workplace, including, as applicable, safe housing conditions. As a minimum, potable drinking water, adequate sanitation, essential safety equipment (e.g. use of fertilisers) and access to emergency medical care are provided.</td>
<td>Third-party monitoring and reporting on health and safety conditions on a regular basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use rights</td>
<td>Land rights, including legal title and customary land, of local communities are respected.</td>
<td>Legal use rights to the land are clearly defined and demonstrable (e.g. documented through an ownership agreement, rental agreement, court order, etc.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This project is funded by the European Union*
### Environment-friendly production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water use and pollution</td>
<td>Growers take measures to minimize water withdrawal from the environment and prevent water pollution.</td>
<td>Growers have a system in place to measure, improve and report on water use and pollution in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of chemicals</td>
<td>Growers comply with best practices relating to use of chemicals. Pest and disease management is based on Integrated Pest Management programs that reduce the need for agrochemicals.</td>
<td>Growers have a programme in place to substitute agrochemicals through bio-based products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil management</td>
<td>Growers comply with best practices relating to soil management, taking into consideration soil structure and fertility, and soil erosion. Agrochemical use is minimised to deliver upon good soil conservation practices, whilst being consistent with the need to control invasive species and pests.</td>
<td>Microbiological measuring and improvement programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td>Waste is prevented, and/or disposed of in an environmentally sustainable way.</td>
<td>Waste is reused, recycled and recovered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy use and emission to air</td>
<td>We expect that energy is used in the most efficient way possible. Greenhouse gas emission and other emission to air are reduced whenever possible.</td>
<td>We expect that energy comes from renewable sources or energy efficiency is measured and continuously improved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-harvest losses</td>
<td>Our suppliers ensure appropriate harvesting scheduling and storage.</td>
<td>Growers and processors adopt appropriate technology and systems to reduce postharvest losses and food waste. Where post-harvest losses do occur, efforts are made to reduce losses to an acceptable minimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>We expect from farmers to reduce agro-chemicals</td>
<td>We expect from farmers to eliminate agro-chemicals; promote crop rotation and fallow areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sourcing converted from natural forests</td>
<td>Our products are sourced from land that has not been converted from natural forest to other land use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Packaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packaging materials</td>
<td>We prefer products which are delivered with minimal or no packaging while complying with hygiene and produce integrity standards</td>
<td>We prefer products with packaging material from renewable and proven sustainable sourcing. If package materials is used, it should be designed in a way that it can be recycled easily.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local and seasonal sourcing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local products</td>
<td>We systematically prefer products from local or regional suppliers over imported products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal product</td>
<td>We will systematically procure seasonal fruits and vegetables, whenever this is feasible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Traceability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trace the origin and transparency</td>
<td>We preference such products of which we know their origin.</td>
<td>We prefer to buy products from suppliers that provide detailed information about the product, materials, as well as social and environmental impacts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transportation and storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Basic level</th>
<th>Advanced level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smart transportation</td>
<td>We prefer such products that have been transported in a way that features reduced product miles.</td>
<td>We prefer such products that have been transported in a way that also features efficient fuel consumption, limited vehicle emissions, optimised loads and reversed logistics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient storage</td>
<td>Suppliers of our products should make sure to store their produce in a way that will reduce food waste.</td>
<td>Suppliers of our products should make sure to store their produce in a way that ensures efficient resource use through monitoring and smart technology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Overarching marketing campaign strategy for MauriGAP FFV
4 main approaches to engage with consumers

1. Explain the product & context
2. Discover the product
3. Label the product
4. Communicate with the consumer

Explain the Product
Arguments surrounding MauriGAP and its context

MauriGAP FFV are
- "home grown"
  - local not imported produce
  - Foreign currency saving (keep your spending in MRU)
  - less transport, less CO₂
  - sustains small planter livelihoods

MauriGAP FFV are
- "controlled use of agro-chemicals"
  - Farmers maintain farm records
- "healthy"
  - Health of consumers
  - Welfare and security of farm-workers
  - Sustainable practices
- "certified"
  - External control and validation
### Discover the Product
Present MauriGAP FFV to consumers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promote</th>
<th>Inform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• PLV/in-store</td>
<td>• Flyers, fact sheets, social media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• présentoir / emplacement spécifique (coin MauriGAP)</td>
<td>• Encourage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Animation</td>
<td>• Customers to touch, smell, taste MauriGAP FFV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Collect feedback: interviews, fiches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Identify the product
Labelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identify the product as being MauriGAP-compliant</th>
<th>If using own brands (private labels), reflect look and feel of MauriGAP logos as well as MauriGAP campaign arguments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Health &amp; Safety (&quot;produit sain&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Superior produce (&quot;produire mieux&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Environmental awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation within own brands ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Labelling Example A: Local products

REWE Regional started in August 2012:
• It products comprise seasonal fruit and vegetable from regional farms and sold in the respective regions
• Depending on the season, the range includes between 10 and 30 products
• Products originate from no more than 50 kilometers

"Carrefour Quality & Origin"
• All products are locally sourced from partners cooperated for over 20 years.

Carrefour BIO in France favours French organic production:
• 70% of the organic range manufactured by French suppliers, mainly SMEs.

Labelling Example B: Ethical label, broader sustainability

Fairglobe, Lidl
• Lidl developed special private brand “Fairglobe” with Fairtrade certification, aiming at raising public awareness and promoting sustainable products from developing countries

Pro Planet, REWE Group
• 300 Pro-Planet Products
• Flagship in the German retailer sector
• Mainstreaming sustainability
A+B in Mauritius: label incorporating MauriGAP

A supermarket’s label whether as private or MauriGAP label, would combine the local with the sustainability elements.

Also it would guarantee that the product labelling is the result of a process.

Communication with the consumer

Media by target group

- **All target groups**
  - Via Radio & TV campaigns (publicly-financed)
  - Reportage Association Consommateurs
  - Regular updates for Press & Media; social media

- **Tourism sector**
  - via website & publications of MRU Tourism Board

- **Non-stationary consumers**
  - In agricultural areas, billboards “Ici nous cultivons selon MauriGAP”

- **Retail customers**
  - Flyers at P.O.S. (store entrance & FFV stand)
  - Install feedback loops (in supermarkets, hotels, at consumer association)
Communication with the consumer

Themes

- Home-grown
- Healthy living (& working)
  “La sante chez nous”
  - FFV = goodness of nature, source of natural vitamins and sugars
  - Sante du consommateur
  - Sante du sol (respect environnment)
  - Sante des travailleurs agricoles
- Traceability
- Professionalism
- Environmental awareness

Other tools to understand consumer attitude

Ways to understand consumers' wants and needs for greener products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>How</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market Survey</td>
<td>Create your own consumer survey to ask your consumers their opinions on sustainable products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Research</td>
<td>Take advantage of others’ consumer research on consumer behaviour and sustainable products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing Theory</td>
<td>Theories on selling and marketing sustainable products and what opportunities are available for business. They usually include examples of “how to do it” in practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Dialogues</td>
<td>Involve your stakeholders, including customers, community members, suppliers, employees, government, listen to their interest and find out about various perspectives on sustainable products. Stakeholders can be involved via consultations (meetings) or even partnerships.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mapping communication opportunities

**Options to support sales of green products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choice Editing</td>
<td>• Increase customer value and confidence</td>
<td>• Get rid of unsustainable products and offer more sustainable versions (i.e. labels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Be a market leader: don’t get caught by new legislation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>• Raise product awareness</td>
<td>• Special and noticeable placement in the store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase unplanned consumer purchases</td>
<td>• Good shelf placement: eye level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improve customer relationships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>• Add human touch to sales</td>
<td>• Allow the consumer to experience the product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Answer questions and tell more about the product</td>
<td>• Give recipes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase unexpected purchase</td>
<td>• Provide accurate use tips and information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce harmful product myths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prompts and Packaging</td>
<td>• Draw attention to the product</td>
<td>• Use shelf tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>• Add valuable easy-to-read information</td>
<td>• Provide signs at point of purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Show environmental and social impacts on packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Training</td>
<td>• Allows employees to answer customer questions</td>
<td>• Explain what the product means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide greater understanding among employees about a product’s importance and its accurate placement</td>
<td>• Allow them to think of new solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Motivate your workforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>• Add more information than you can do in store</td>
<td>• Add sustainability with other product information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase use by customers for shopping</td>
<td>• Explain the added value in the price sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Advertising</td>
<td>• Draw an emotional affiliation between you and the consumer</td>
<td>• Inform consumers of what you are doing and why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improve consumer relationships</td>
<td>• Show the consumer that they can make a difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Help to explain the added value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Service Systems</td>
<td>• Open new markets and become a leader in that new market</td>
<td>• Allow leasing and renting of low-use products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reach out to customers who need a different alternative</td>
<td>• Expand expensive products to low-income markets through service systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Invest in people, rather than materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Enabling sustainable choice

**Good practice examples: Enable sustainable choice!**

#### Choice editing

- **Lidl** aims to change all its bananas to be from Rainforest Alliance Certified™ farms.
- **DM** offers only organic food products and introduced in 2014 its own organic food brand.

**SPAR** organizes training to sensitize customers by setting up food tasting and answering questions concerning responsible shopping.

#### Demonstration and exposure

- **REWE Group** promotes sustainable products through sustainability week and activities to engage customers in sustainability.
- **Waitrose** promotes the Fairtrade Fortnight with recipes and selection of Fairtrade foods on offer.
Corroborating sustainable choice
Good practice examples: Enable sustainable choice II

Website
- Tesco publishes supply chain DNA analysis to enable customers to trace from farm to fork
- Carrefour updates its sustainable activities and stories behind sustainable products on website

Emotional advertising
- M&S links consumers with suppliers with "Meet Your Producer" website
- Alpro uses sustainability report and conferences to make consumers realize the importance of sustainable consumption

Communication: informing/educating the consumer
Options to educate consumers to use products effectively and sustainability impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>• Increase customer knowledge of product use at home</td>
<td>• Provide guidance and examples on efficient use and sustainable consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Expand customer relationships and increase customer life-cycle value</td>
<td>• Show environmental use impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging information</td>
<td>• Customer can bring information home and read while using product</td>
<td>• Tips on proper use, such as saving energy, decreasing chemical usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Adds greater total value to customer purchase</td>
<td>• Create fun and memorable information for children about the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner with others</td>
<td>• Increases employee knowledge base</td>
<td>• Partner with NGOs to increase knowledge of use options, through leaflets, in-store displays, and cross advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increases consumer trust and stakeholder value</td>
<td>• Work with suppliers to improve product use performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improves relationships with stakeholders, such as suppliers, NGOs and local community</td>
<td>• Cooperate with the community on a plan to improve local energy use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact consumers post purchase</td>
<td>• Expands personal relationship beyond the store</td>
<td>• Contact customers via e-mail, phone, or text-message with tips on proper use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improves customer loyalty</td>
<td>• Add information to customer magazines about sustainable product use and cost savings tips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Marketing Campaign**

### Communication: informing the consumer on health

**Good practice examples:** Educate consumers on *use phase impacts and solutions*

#### Website
- M&S launched **online health diet website**
- 1,500 Healthy Eating Assistants in stores to assist consumers’ healthy choices.

#### Partnership
- M&S joins project on sustainable diets with Institute of Grocery Distribution (IGD), aiming for integrating healthy eating advice with social and environmental sustainability messages.

#### Packaging information
- M&S has introduced nutritional ‘traffic lights’ to food products and extend the use of positive health labels to promote products with beneficial qualities.

#### Post-purchase connection
- Carrefour: customers could receive healthy eating suggestions and advice based on the contents of their shopping trolleys, using information gleaned from their Clubcards.

### Communication: informing the consumer on end-of-life (relevant for FFV packaging)

**Good practice examples:** Educate consumer on *end-of-life impact and solutions*

#### Website
- M&S publishes **recycling guideline and fact books** to support consumers with better recycling

#### Packaging
- M&S uses product label to let consumers know which *elements of the pack are recyclable and how easy it is to do this.*

#### In store disposal
- Bharti Retail cooperated with Unilever to promote plastic recycling among consumers through in-store displays, leaflets and mailers.

#### Partnership
- M&S partners with WRAP and cities on *Love Food Hate Waste campaign*
- Waitrose supports **websites Recycle Now** that enable consumers to identify their nearest recycling center and the recyclable materials.

#### Product to service
- M&S offers **cookery classes** for consumers, aiming at reducing household food waste

---

This project is funded by the European Union
Summary of Marketing measures at each life-cycle step

- Upstream practices – Suppliers
  - Greening supply chain
  - Local sourcing initiatives
  - Eco-design of products and packaging
  - Choice-Editing of supplier products

- In-store practices – Store operations
  - Information boards
  - Product presentation
  - Promotion
  - Animation
  - Feedback collection

- External practices – Consumer
  - Communication through eco- and social labels
  - Advertising and marketing / information
  - Pricing of green products

This project is funded by the European Union
Farmforce

a tool in the right time for traceability

Imposition of a 10% sampling and analysis of beans and peas entering the European Union from 1st January 2012, placed Kenya vegetable industry market share in the EU on a hanging balance. This was as a result of some beans and peas being found containing some sprays beyond the Maximum Residue levels (MRLs) of not more than 0.02 parts per million. In this milieu Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture (SFSA) started offering an innovative web and mobile system, named Farmforce, for managing smallholder farmers producing horticultural crops for export.

"Farmforce is an integrated mobile/web traceability platform used to manage small scale farmers to enable them access market and adhere to the protocols set for the fresh produce export market ensuring farming such as MRL are strictly observed", says Faith Kamenchu, Farmforce Project Manager at the Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture.

Farmforce makes it easier for exporters to work with small scale farmers in outgrower schemes. It makes certain that the exporters contracted by overseas chain stores to supply fresh fruits and vegetables are assured of consistent good quality produce, fair transaction costs and most importantly traceability by the smallholders farmers.

Via this real-time monitoring and tracking system, Farmforce provides a holistic pathway for tracing all activities involved in the growing cycle of horticultural crops and GlobalGAP related information. The app captures data on disease, pest detection, the prescribed chemicals and comparison of inputs used against warehouse stock levels. It also records the maximum dose/hectare, application date, pre-harvest interval (PHI), spray application interval, target pests, yield forecast, environmental hazards, clearance dates for each farmer's block, the specific sprayer and personnel for each operation.

According to Faith, Farmforce facilitates the connection of smallholder farmers to lucrative international markets, giving them an opportunity to earn a stable income. Using the platform it is possible for farmers to meet safety requisites, compliance, social and sustainability standards which many a times lock out these farmers from external markets.

Farmforce has been in operation since May 2013, has been taken up by many companies in Kenya and many more in Africa, Asia and Latin America. They have recently formed a partnership with GlobalGAP and are now a PIP/COLEACP service provider. This relationship increases the reach of the system, reduce the cost of adopting the system for companies in Kenya and the rest of the Africa-Caribbean-Pacific countries. As a result many companies are taking up this innovation which is digitizing and reducing the amount of paper work involved in well organized farming ventures, making traceability very empirical.

"In a day the technical assistants are able to visit fields and capture data for twenty farmers. Let's assume an out grower has more than 50 groups, with more than 70 members growing different fruits and vegetables. Managing their planting, scouting, chemical applications, fertilizer..."
This project is funded by the European Union.

**Annex**

**Traceability**

Applications, harvesting and financial information on paper is very complex and cumbersome. FarmForce circumvents this by creating an “all under one roof” data entry and management experience,” Faith demonstrated.

This technology is primarily being used by horticultural exporters such as Kenya Horticultural Exporters (KHE). “These large-scale companies invest a lot of money, recruiting small-scale farmers to produce for export and when there is a problem such as contravention of MRLs, they incur a lot of losses, which are channeled down the whole export value chain. FarmForce is the valuable tool for reducing risks by making this process efficient, reliable and transparent,” notes Spencer Morley, FarmForce Implementation Manager SFSA.

Companies that have embraced the technology begin by training their agronomists and technical assistant(s) (TAs). The TAs act as the main intermediaries between the exporter and the contracted farmers. This group is sent to the field to register farmers on FarmForce. Farmers’ profiles include a photo, names, national identity number, mobile number, village, as well as specific GPS coordinates of the farm’s exact locales. The farm acreage and the number of blocks a farmer has are also captured. At a later time the TAs capture planting records, expected harvest time, harvest projections, chemicals used, reason for application, and the pre-harvest intervals among other details.

“When this data is captured through the TA’s mobile device, it is then synchronized and hosted in the company’s (web) online database which is accessible by the company management. The mobile application is used by the TAs and works both online and offline. Data can be captured even in areas without mobile network coverage and when one gets in a network enabled area, they are able to synchronize the captured information,” says Faith.

There is a common problem in the industry of field officers avoiding going to the fields thus giving wrong information and non-existent farmers to show they have been working. With FarmForce there is no room for this as the company management can look on the website and immediately see which TAs have been capturing what data, where, and for which farmers or blocks. With the farmers’ profiles, exporters are better placed to manage the smallholders. Scouting work is eased. When unknown disease strikes, the TAs take photo of the affected plants, upload them online and the problem is acted upon promptly by agronomists who can deploy preventive, inoculation or curative measures promptly.

When exporters contact smallholders, they sponsor them for GAP trainings, provide them with inputs and some startup capital which are deducted from the harvest sale. This is an expensive undertaking and the risk involved high. At times unscrupulous farmers may sell part of the harvest to middlemen or side-buy outside the scheme to buffer their yields. If this happens, exporters can find that they don’t meet the projected targets, thus incurring losses or they end up collecting crops which another farmer has grown using unapproved pesticides. “Since every exporter is in a contract with a certain client who has specified chemicals to be used at specific rates and the harvest to be done at distinct pre-harvest-interval, side-buying flouts this standard. Many a times, tracing this with paper is impossible. But by logically and systematically capturing data, FarmForce smartly predicts farmer yields and projections, which allows the exporting companies to identity where farmers may be selling their raw material to brokers or when they are buying from outside sources”, reaffirmed Faith.

By simplifying the management of smallholder outgrower schemes the Syngenta Foundation and the FarmForce team hopes to make it easier for exporting companies to work with and expand outgrower schemes. This is of critical importance to the success of the exporting companies and small holder farmers. The team hopes that in the future the companies that effectively use mobile technology to efficiently work with small holder farmers will have a critical competitive advantage over rivals, in Kenya and abroad, who are still using a pen and paper based systems. Kenya is a center of technology innovation and horticultural production. It is hoped that the combination of these two attributes will combine in form of FarmForce and others for the advancement of the Kenya as a whole over other global competitors.
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